



**STATE OF MARYLAND
OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT
AND FAMILY PRESERVATION RESOURCE PLAN**

FY2013

Submitted by



Governor's Office for Children
Promoting the well-being of Maryland's children

*On behalf of
the Children's Cabinet*

December 13, 2013

Acknowledgements

The following persons provided invaluable assistance with this report:

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Albert Zachik, Mental Hygiene Administration
Susan Bradley, Mental Hygiene Administration
Eric English, Mental Hygiene Administration
Janet Furman, Developmental Disabilities Administration
Tom Merrick, Mental Hygiene Administration
John Reynolds, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration
William Rusinko, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration
Nicole Smith, Developmental Disabilities Administration
Bernard Zenis, Developmental Disabilities Administration

Department of Human Resources

Carnitra White, Social Services Administration
David Ayer, Social Services Administration
Linda Carter, Social Services Administration

Department of Juvenile Services

Michael Ito, Behavioral Health and Victim Services
Michael DiBattista, Fiscal Planning and Management
William Drollinger, Fiscal Planning and Management
John Irvine, Research and Evaluation
Lakshmi Iyengar, Research and Evaluation
Falguni Patel, Research and Evaluation

Governor's Office for Children

Anne Sheridan, Executive Director
Patricia E. Arriaza, Interagency Initiatives
Deborah Donohue, Principal Counsel
Dan Feller, Finance and Operations
Kim Malat, Grant and Contract Administration
Steven Schreiber-Stahl, Interagency Initiatives
Mark Scott, Interagency Initiatives

Maryland State Department of Education

Marcella Franczkowski, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services
Cynthia Amirault, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services
Richard Baker, Division of Business Services
Jeff Miller, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

University of Maryland School of Social Work

Michelle Zabel, Institute for Innovation and Implementation
John Cosgrove, Institute for Innovation and Implementation
Mark Lardner, Institute for Innovation and Implementation

For further information or copies of this report, please visit the Governor's Office for Children's website at www.goc.maryland.gov.

Table of Contents

- Acknowledgements..... i
- Introduction & Overview..... 1
 - Background..... 1
 - Data Collection Methodology, Definitions, & Considerations..... 2
 - Report Overview..... 6
- Statewide Summary..... 9
 - Department of Human Resources (DHR) Summary 20
 - Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) Summary 37
 - Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) Summary 52
 - Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA) Summary 60
 - Mental Hygiene Administration (MHA) Summary..... 65
 - Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) Summary 75
 - Maryland School for the Blind and Maryland School for the Deaf..... 83
 - Family Preservation Services 84
- APPENDIX 1: Placement by Jurisdiction 100
- APPENDIX 2: Capacity Utilization by Placement Category 120

Introduction & Overview

Background

The State is responsible for linking children served in out-of-home care with placements and services that meet their needs. It is imperative that the State conducts ongoing, unified and comprehensive reviews of the placements and services provided to the children placed in its care. The purpose of the Out-of-Home Placement (OOHP) and Family Preservation Resource Plan (Report) is to document the State's capacity for and utilization of out-of-home placements, analyze the costs associated with out-of-home placement, facilitate an evaluation of Statewide family preservation programs, and identify areas of need across Maryland. The Report fulfills the requirement, pursuant to the Maryland Annotated Code, Human Services Article, §8-703, to annually produce a State Resource Plan "in order to enhance access to services provided by RCCPs [(Residential Child Care Programs)]" and the Joint Chairmen's Report requesting an evaluation of "Maryland's family preservation programs in stemming the flow of children from their homes."

The intent of the statute is for the Report to give an account for what is driving placement decisions in Maryland, what are children's needs in Maryland, and how the agencies plan to meet those needs. The Report for Fiscal Year 2013 (FY2013) includes all the same categories of data as the FY2012 report, but is organized differently to emphasize each agency's special placement trends and recommendations. The Report reflects information as reported by the child-serving agencies including Department of Human Resources (DHR), Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DHMH), Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) and the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE). In the Report, agencies summarize notable details about their out-of-home placements based on common data elements and may elaborate on other data presented in the Addendum of each agency's section.

In Maryland, children enter out-of-home care for a variety of reasons and under a number of different circumstances. Children may be placed in the care and custody of the State when they are determined to be a Child In Need of Assistance (CINA), a Child In Need of Supervision (CINS), or Delinquent. Children can also enter placement through a Voluntary Placement Agreement (VPA) under which a parent voluntarily places a child in the care of the State. This most often occurs when a child is unable to access funding for needed treatment through any avenue other than being in the care of the State. The State child-serving agencies and administrations responsible for placing children in out-of-home placements are DHR; DJS; and DHMH, including the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA), Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA), and the Mental Hygiene Administration (MHA). Although MSDE funds out-of-home placements made by the Local School Systems (LSS), MSDE is not a placing agency and does not place children out-of-home. Children whose placements are funded by MSDE, either in whole or in part, will be discussed in this Report as well as children placed by other agencies and administrations. These agencies and administrations may fund the placements or the placements may be funded by Medical Assistance (MA), which is administered through DHMH. Placements may also be co-funded by several State agencies.

Each of these child-placing and funding agencies and administrations operates differently at the local level. DHMH (ADAA and MHA), DHR, and MSDE serve children and families through their 24 local counterparts within each of the State's local jurisdictions - the LDSS, the local Core Service Agencies (CSAs)¹, the local

¹ One Core Service Agency located on the Eastern Shore serves five local jurisdictions.

Substance Abuse Councils, and the LSS. DJS and DDA have regional offices, which, in turn, have local offices. For administrative purposes, DJS has six designated regions and DDA has four.

These regions are:

DJS

- Baltimore City
- Central Region (Baltimore, Carroll, Harford, and Howard Counties)
- Metro Region (Montgomery and Prince George's Counties)
- Eastern Shore Region (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties)
- Southern Region (Anne Arundel, Calvert, Charles, and St. Mary's Counties)
- Western Region (Allegany, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington Counties)

DDA

- Central Region (Anne Arundel County, Baltimore City, and Baltimore, Harford and Howard Counties)
- Eastern Shore Region (Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties)
- Southern Region (Calvert, Charles, St. Mary's, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties)
- Western Region (Allegany, Carroll, Frederick, Garrett, and Washington Counties)

Data Collection Methodology, Definitions, and Considerations

An Out-of-Home Placement Interagency Workgroup (Workgroup) was convened by the Children's Cabinet to review the FY2012 data collection methodology including reporting guidelines and submission requirements. This Report uses aggregate data submitted by each agency for the fiscal years, and the one-day census for each fiscal year. As in previous years, the Workgroup met initially with the agencies to discuss reporting needs. Each agency was given a data request guide along with data collection templates for data reporting and clarification of the information request. GOC also met individually with each agency to ensure a thorough understanding of reporting requirements and recognition of each agency's unique placement process and data collection methods.

Methodology

Each child-serving agency was requested to provide aggregate data using specific templates for children in placement and associated cost for the last three fiscal years. The following information describes the parameters of the requested data.

Reporting Period

The charts and graphs featured in this report are derived from two data sources – “full fiscal year” data and “one-day census.” This Report marks tables using fiscal year data with a shaded background and graphs using the one-day census with a white, or blank, background.

These are the definitions for each data reporting period:

- “*Full Fiscal Year*” - All placements during the fiscal year including carryover placements from the prior fiscal year(s). The fiscal year periods are as follows:

FY2009: July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009

FY2010: July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010

FY2011: July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011

FY2012: July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

FY2013: July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013

- “*One-Day Census*” - The one-day count date used for each fiscal year is as follows:

FY2009: January 31, 2009

FY2010: January 31, 2010

FY2011: January 31, 2011

FY2012: January 31, 2012

FY2013: January 31, 2013

Age Group

This Report considers placement for children through their 21st birthday (*i.e.*, to age 20.999) as of the date of admission for new placements, and as of July 1st of the fiscal year for carryover placements. There are two exceptions to this rule: placements that are funded by MSDE include children who are served through the academic year of their 21st birthday and ADAA placements end at the child’s 18th birthday when they are transitioned to the adult system.

Race

Any child who is characterized in case records as identifying with more than one race is included in the “Bi-Racial/Multiple Race” category. Children who are Hispanic are included in the “Other” category if they did not identify as any race but identified as being Hispanic in ethnicity.

Definitions

- “*Bed-Day*” - A unit of measurement that refers to a single day in which one child is provided placement in any out-of-home placement.
- “*Children/Youth*” - The term “youth” is used interchangeably with the term “child” but is often used to describe older adolescents or individuals age 18 or older, and is typically used by agencies that primarily serve these populations. A child is anyone under age 18, but most agencies will serve individuals until their 21st birthday.
- “*One-Day Census*” - The measurement of total population on one day out of the year. January 31st is consistently used because it is about halfway through the fiscal year. This measurement is used to gauge the total serving capacity of placements on a comparable, specific, single day.

- “*Population Flow*” - The total number of placements at the start of the fiscal year, new admissions within the fiscal year, discharges within the fiscal year, and placements at the end of the fiscal year.
- “*Rate of New Placement Settings*” - The rate of new admissions into a category of out-of-home placement per 1,000 children (aged 0 to 18) within a given geographic population.
- “*Total Served*” – The number of placements at the start of the fiscal year in addition to the number of new placements added (not children) during the fiscal year. The “total served” encompasses children who may have been placed since the previous year, or before.

Considerations

The FY2013 Report utilizes a variety of measurements to capture placement dynamics among diverse services, agencies, and jurisdictions. Among those measurements are cost per bed-day, one-day census, population flow, and rate of entry per jurisdiction. These measurements provide a uniform method based on substantive information for comparing diverse placements and agencies. Where the data serves as only a partial representation of placement dynamics or a particular agency does not calculate data as prescribed by the measurement, the authors of this Report have made an effort to supplement the data and charts with additional information.

As with all measures, there are some limitations of the data used in this Report, including the following:

Cost per Bed-Day

Not all agencies calculate bed-days.

One-Day Census

The totals are derived from a count of all children in placement on one day of the year. This is not the total number of children served in placement during the course of the year. The number is meant to show how many children may be in placement at any given time.

Population Flow

The population flow reflects changes in placements throughout the year. A change is considered a discharge or enrollment of any child in a new placement category (*e.g.*, from family home setting to community-based placement), a new jurisdiction (*e.g.*, a transfer from Somerset County to Anne Arundel County), or a new placing agency (*e.g.*, DHR or DJS). The population flow counts *placements*, and not *children*, because one child can be placed in more than one category, jurisdiction, or agency in one year. A child may enter a new placement more than once in one year for a number of reasons including if the child needs to be placed in a more restrictive placement suitable for his or her needs or because the child has progressed in meeting treatment goals and can be moved to a less restrictive environment. Placement numbers coming from population flow will be higher than the number of children who are actually placed.

Rate of New Placement Settings per Jurisdiction

The rate of new placement settings per jurisdiction data shows the trend of children being placed within that jurisdiction. For jurisdictions in which few children are placed each year, the difference of one or two children being placed can exaggerate changes in the trend. The rate of new placement settings comes from the number of new placements (or starts) during the fiscal year, so this number counts placements and not children (see “Population Flow” above).

DJS Out-of-Home Placement Information

The data reported includes only youth who are placed in either in-State or out-of-State committed programs. All committed youth are adjudicated delinquent and committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. A continuum of out-of-home placement options are available for these youth, ranging from placement in a foster care setting to placement in a secure confinement facility. The cost data reported under each section also reflects only youth in committed placements. "Non-committed" DJS youth, who are not adjudicated delinquent or placed by the juvenile court, are not represented in the placement totals and placement costs in this Report.

DJS Hospitalization Costs

When a DJS-committed child is placed in a psychiatric hospital, DJS pays only the educational portion of the costs, and other entities pay the remaining costs. In previous years' Reports, the costs that DJS reported were the total costs instead of only educational costs. This has been corrected in the FY2013 Report.

Residential Treatment Center Placements

DJS Residential Treatment Center placements are reported by DJS and included in the MHA Residential Treatment Center placements. Both agencies' Residential Treatment Center placements are included in the Statewide totals where either Residential Treatment Center or non-community-based placement totals are reported because jurisdictional, demographic, and population flow totals cannot be disaggregated by placement subcategory (Residential Treatment Center is a placement subcategory of non-community-based placements). This ensures that the totals for one-day census and population flow data are consistent. The number of DJS Residential Treatment Center placements can be found in Table 68.

MHA Cost Data

MHA services that are billed through Medicaid can be processed up to one year following the provision of the service, which is the time when MHA receives notice of an expenditure. Costs that were incurred by MHA from the previous fiscal year are reconciled in the following year. Because of this, current fiscal year costs may be slightly understated and prior fiscal year costs may be higher than reported in the previous year.

DHR Cost Data

Services that DHR bills through Medicaid for its placements are not reflected in the DHR cost charts and primarily include Residential Treatment Center placements. Instead, these costs appear in the MHA section. Additionally, DHR costs are reported by main placement category, but not by placement subcategory.

Unknown and Not Available Placements

An "Unknown" or "Not Available" placement category is used to describe children who have run away or who cannot be identified in a placement category because an agency's automated records have not been updated. Differences among the placement subcategories are further explained in each of the placement category descriptions.

Report Overview

The FY2013 Report includes a Statewide summary of all out-of-home placements, five-year trend analyses and recommendations for out-of-home placements by the State agencies that place children or fund children’s placements, a description of placements at Maryland’s School for the Blind and School for the Deaf, and a discussion of Maryland’s Family Preservation Services.

The Children’s Cabinet’s objective for the Report is to provide an accurate and precise analysis of each agency’s placement trends and future resource development priorities. The Children’s Cabinet continues to strengthen, develop, and adopt strategies to serve children in their homes and communities. This Report supports a more comprehensive understanding of the needs of children who require out-of-home placement. The Children’s Cabinet agencies seek to improve the tracking and monitoring of placements, and identify meaningful ways to measure progress. These efforts benefit the State and local jurisdictions in the planning of effective services and utilizing funding in the most effective and efficient manner.

Placement Categories

There are four categories of placement for children in the State of Maryland. These categories are used to describe Maryland’s out-of-home placement continuum, beginning with the least restrictive, most family-like setting (Family Home Settings) and moving progressively towards the most highly-structured and treatment-oriented settings (Hospitalizations).

Category	Family Home	Community-Based	Non-Community-Based	Hospitalization
Subcategory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoptive Care • Foster Care • Formal Relative (Kinship) Care • Restricted Relative (Kinship) Care • Treatment Foster Care • Living-Arrangement – Family Home 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Independent Living Programs • Residential Child Care Program • Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA) • Living Arrangement – Community-Based 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program • Non-Secure/Non-Residential Treatment Center • Residential Educational Facilities • Residential Treatment Centers • Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM) • Living Arrangement – Non-Community-Based 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In-Patient Private • Psychiatric Hospitalization

Chart 1: Placement Categories

While there is a range of out-of-home placement types, only two agencies, DHR and DJS, place children in all of the placement categories. The administrations of DHMH (MHA, DDA, and ADAA) – each place children in only one category of placement (and MSDE only funds placements and does not directly place children). The chart below (Chart 2) illustrates where the overlaps occur among agencies in subcategories of placement and the subcategories that are specific to a particular agency.

	Family Home Placement						Community-Based Placement				Non-Community-Based Placement						Hospitalization Placement		
Placing Agency	Adoptive Care	Foster Care	Formal Relative (Kinship) Care	Restricted Relative (Kinship) Care	Treatment Foster Care	Living Arrangement - Family Home	Independent Living Programs	Residential Child Care Program	Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	Living Arrangement - Community-Based	Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Non-Secure/Non-RTC	Residential Educational Facilities	Residential Treatment Centers	Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	In-Patient Private	Psychiatric Hospitalization
DHR	38	1,204	363	401	1,693	338	213	750		69					168		100	6	16
DJS		5			66		18	236			25	185	69		179	279			9
MSDE														68					
MHA															401				
DDA								22	81										
ADAA																175			

Chart 2: State Agency Placement Categories, Placement Totals on 1/31/2013

Organization of the Report

Out-of-Home Placement Summaries

The out-of-home placement portion of the FY2013 Report is comprised of summaries from each of the child-placing and funding agencies, as well as a Statewide summary of all placements in Maryland. Each section utilizes the same data metrics to aid comparison between the varying populations served by the agencies, organized under the following headings:

Summary

An overview of the number of children in placement during each year's one-day census and the total number of placements at the beginning of the fiscal year in addition to the number of placements added during the fiscal year, the population flow during the last four fiscal years, rate of placement by jurisdiction based on one-day census data, total costs, and costs per bed-day.

Recommendations

The agency's or administration's strategies to address gaps in services, serve children in their home jurisdictions whenever possible, and reduce the length of stay in out-of-home placement programs while increasing the rates of positive discharges to less-restrictive settings or permanent homes.

Addendum

Data on the demographics of children in placement (age, gender, race), out-of-State placement trends (and out-of-State demographics for those agencies and administrations with ten or more out-of-State placements), and placement subcategory total costs and costs per bed-day for agencies with more than one placement category (DHR and DJS).

Maryland School for the Deaf and Blind

A brief description of the number of students enrolled and costs (residential and educational) associated with the two schools.

Family Preservation Services

A summary of the outcomes achieved by families participating in Family Preservation Services to prevent the placement of children involved with the services.

Appendix 1: Placement by Jurisdiction


The number of children from each jurisdiction in Maryland who were in out-of-home placement on January 31, 2013 and where they were placed, by out-of-home placement subcategory.

Appendix 2: Capacity Utilization

The programs where Maryland children are placed, the licensed capacity of those programs, ages served, and jurisdiction where they are located, by placement subcategory.

Statewide Summary

The Maryland Regulations on Out-of-Home Placement (COMAR 07.02.11) set forth the requirements of the out-of-home placement program to reduce the rate at which children enter and re-enter out-of-home placement; reduce the median length of stay in out-of-home placement; minimize the number of placement changes within 24 months of entering out-of-home placement; increase the percentage of reunifications, guardianships, and adoptions; and decrease the number of children in out-of-home placement.




Statewide Placement Trends							
Category	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	2,035	1,718	1,514	1,465	1,335	-9.9%	-8.9%
Family Home Settings	6,755	6,490	5,840	5,359	4,619	-9.0%	-13.8%
Hospitalization	29	31	43	18	31	14.9%	72.2%
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement ²	1,704	1,686	1,646	1,531	1,514	-2.9%	-1.1%
Placement Category Not Available	251	435	336	302	324	11.9%	7.3%
All Categories	10,774	10,360	9,379	8,675	7,823	-7.7%	-9.8%

Table 1

The number of children in out-of-home placement has been steadily decreasing since FY2009, and in the last year, the number decreased by more than 800. The most significant decreases have been in the Family Home Settings and Community-Based Placement categories, with an average decrease of 9% and 9.9%, respectively. It is estimated that nearly 8,000 Maryland children are in out-of-home placement on any given day.

² The number of non-community-based residential placements is higher than actual because DJS Residential Treatment Center placements (included in the number of non-community-based residential placements) are reported by DJS and MHA. DJS Residential Treatment Center placements are included in Table 68. The numbers are unchanged in Table 1 to ensure consistency between the data based on the Statewide one-day census totals, which are not disaggregated by placement subcategory.



Statewide Total Served Trends							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	15,306	15,720	14,772	14,351	12,682	-4.5%	-11.6%
Community-Based	5,370	4,544	4,161	3,935	3,563	-9.7%	-9.5%
Non-Community-Based	6,637	6,992	6,154	6,115	5,865	-2.8%	-4.1%
Hospitalization	326	307	292	306	393	5.6%	28.4%
Not Available	1,057	572	887	877	850	1.2%	-3.1%
Total	28,696	28,135	26,266	25,584	23,353	-5.0%	-8.7%

Table 2

The total number of placements each fiscal year has decreased, as well, by more than 5,000 in the last five years (Table 2). As shown in Table 3, the number of Total Served comes from the number of children in placement at the start of the fiscal year and all the new placements added until the end of the fiscal year. Over 23,000 placements were open during FY2013, but only between 8,278 and 7,606 were active at any one time.

Statewide Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	10,499	17,636	28,135	17,972	10,163
2011	9,635	16,631	26,266	16,871	9,395
2012	9,060	16,524	25,284	17,170	8,414
2013	8,278	15,075	23,353	15,747	7,606
Three-Year Change	-21.2%	-14.5%	-17.0%	-12.4%	-25.2%
Average Yearly Change	-6.7%	-2.3%	-4.7%	-0.9%	-9.5%
Recent Year Change	-8.6%	-8.8%	-7.6%	-8.3%	-9.6%

Table 3

The rate of new placement settings has also decreased (Table 4). FY2013 had a less than average rate of new placement settings in the last four years, with 11.2 per 1,000 in the population of children in Maryland. Fluctuation in the rates can be common in jurisdictions with low populations, but many jurisdictions had significant decreases. New placement settings may mean children initially being placed or being moved from one placement to another. Placement moves may occur when a child is in need of more intensive services or when a child has met placement goals and enters a less restrictive setting.

Statewide Rate of New Placement Settings By Jurisdiction Per 1,000 Children in the Population							
Jurisdiction	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Three Year Change	Average Change	Last Year Change
Allegany	13.9	14.3	17.6	19.7	41%	13%	12%
Anne Arundel	5.5	4.8	6.1	6.5	19%	7%	7%
Baltimore	10.3	9.1	9.2	8.5	-17%	-6%	-7%
Baltimore City	44.7	42.7	50.7	43.0	-4%	-0%	-15%
Calvert	9.0	9.5	8.5	10.5	16%	6%	23%
Caroline	12.7	14.0	13.1	10.1	-20%	-6%	-23%
Carroll	5.3	6.2	7.6	6.4	21%	8%	-16%
Cecil	13.0	13.2	15.3	16.1	24%	7%	5%
Charles	8.1	7.6	7.8	7.4	-8%	-3%	-5%
Dorchester	19.5	12.1	11.9	17.0	-13%	1%	43%
Frederick	8.4	6.9	8.1	7.3	-13%	-3%	-9%
Garrett	22.0	15.1	24.8	21.1	-4%	6%	-15%
Harford	8.1	8.1	9.8	9.3	15%	5%	-5%
Howard	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	2%	1%	2%
Kent	11.3	9.5	7.7	6.7	-41%	-16%	-13%
Montgomery	5.6	5.1	4.9	4.9	-13%	-5%	-1%
Prince George's	5.9	5.7	6.9	6.9	17%	6%	0%
Queen Anne's	8.5	6.6	7.6	7.6	-10%	-2%	1%
Somerset	17.1	14.7	24.3	19.4	13%	10%	-20%
St. Mary's	8.2	11.5	10.2	8.3	1%	3%	-19%
Talbot	9.8	11.3	13.7	9.5	-3%	2%	-30%
Washington	13.1	13.6	15.1	13.0	-1%	0%	-14%
Wicomico	10.6	10.6	11.8	11.3	7%	2%	-4%
Worcester	14.8	12.3	10.4	8.7	-41%	-16%	-16%
Total	11.6	11.0	12.3	11.2	-3%	-1%	-9%

Table 4

Another of Maryland's goals for out-of-home placement is for children to remain close to their homes so they can preserve their family, social, educational, and cultural connections during the period of placement (Table 5, next page). This is not always possible due to the unavailability of placement resources to suit the child's needs in that jurisdiction or because Kinship and Family Foster Care is available away from the child's home. At least half of the children in placement in 10 of Maryland's 24 jurisdictions were placed in their home jurisdiction. Of all the children placed in Maryland, Baltimore City is the location for 28.6% of placements, followed by Baltimore County with 20.9% of all placements in Maryland.

Statewide Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013																												
		Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	95	1.2%	65	0	3	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	2
Anne Arundel	320	4.1%	14	102	51	33	1	1	3	1	5	13	14	8	0	2	1	15	8	0	0	0	0	5	9	0	24	10
Baltimore	798	10.2%	7	11	385	216	0	2	10	7	0	10	14	12	29	9	0	8	12	0	1	0	12	2	0	25	26	
Baltimore City	3229	41.3%	19	64	854	1729	1	3	22	3	3	6	17	24	51	32	2	25	101	2	7	3	0	9	7	0	109	136
Calvert	116	1.5%	1	7	8	4	58	2	1	0	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	1	6
Caroline	50	0.6%	0	0	5	3	0	25	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	
Carroll	78	1.0%	7	1	9	3	0	0	44	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	0	0	0
Cecil	168	2.1%	2	0	19	17	0	2	0	95	0	6	0	0	8	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	
Charles	137	1.8%	3	0	11	12	0	1	2	0	64	0	3	1	0	0	0	3	17	0	0	4	0	5	1	0	6	4
Dorchester	51	0.7%	4	0	9	2	1	1	2	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	1	5	1
Frederick	204	2.6%	11	0	12	9	0	2	3	2	0	0	104	2	1	2	0	18	4	0	0	0	23	0	1	6	4	
Garrett	50	0.6%	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	1	
Harford	302	3.9%	5	4	57	31	0	6	3	10	0	2	5	1	149	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	6	1	0	8	10	
Howard	107	1.4%	2	1	31	20	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	3	0	31	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	
Kent	11	0.1%	0	0	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	612	7.8%	32	9	41	32	1	5	6	3	3	2	23	9	3	9	0	334	41	0	0	0	18	0	0	26	15	
Prince George's	805	10.3%	25	12	72	64	7	4	18	5	8	7	21	22	2	12	4	39	382	0	4	1	0	18	2	0	58	18
Queen Anne's	28	0.4%	2	0	2	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	7	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	
Somerset	47	0.6%	1	1	6	3	0	1	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	1	8	2	1	2	
St. Mary's	154	2.0%	6	1	9	10	7	0	0	0	13	1	2	3	3	2	1	2	14	1	0	68	0	0	0	7	4	
Talbot	35	0.4%	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	0	6	4	0	0
Washington	233	3.0%	14	2	18	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	14	6	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	146	0	0	4	9	
Wicomico	115	1.5%	9	0	18	20	0	0	1	0	0	8	4	3	0	0	5	5	0	0	2	0	2	32	1	4	0	
Worcester	41	0.5%	3	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	7	0	0	
OOS	31	0.4%	1	0	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	10	0
Unknown	6	0.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Grand Total	7823	100.0%	239	216	1638	2234	77	62	118	128	107	93	246	133	247	100	27	466	598	13	31	83	7	277	94	16	315	258
% of children from jurisdiction			68.4%	31.9%	48.2%	53.5%	50.0%	50.0%	56.4%	56.5%	46.7%	25.5%	51.0%	58.0%	49.3%	29.0%	9.1%	54.6%	47.5%	25.0%	29.8%	44.2%	14.3%	62.7%	27.8%	17.1%	32.3%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			3.1%	2.8%	20.9%	28.6%	1.0%	0.8%	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%	1.2%	3.1%	1.7%	3.2%	1.3%	0.3%	6.0%	7.6%	0.2%	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%	3.5%	1.2%	0.2%	4.0%	3.3%

Table 5




Table 6

Placement costs have been decreasing since FY2009, with a total reduction of nearly \$125 million (Table 6). This is mostly due to the decrease in the number of children entering out-of-home placement, but is also due to the reduction in Family Home Settings and Community-Based Residential Placement costs between FY2009 and FY2010.




Table 7


Recommendations

Maryland’s State child and family-serving agencies provide a continuum of care to meet an array of needs along a wide spectrum. The purpose of the State Resource Plan is to ensure that the State is doing the best it can to build a multifaceted network that can strengthen the lives of every child in need in Maryland. In the remainder of this Report, State agencies will describe the circumstances under which they meet the needs of children and the challenges faced in helping them. The following is a summary of the State agencies’ recommendations to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the State network.

Agency	Recommendations
DHR	Increase community services for parents and biological families, with or without agency involvement, to support parents on a range of issues such as: managing stress as well as their own past trauma, substance abuse, mental health issues, training for general parenting skills, anger management, financial management, parenting teen-age children, parenting children with special needs, and transportation.
DHR	Expand opportunities for job training and low-cost housing for older youth in out-of-home placement.
DHR	Continue efforts to recruit potential adoptive resources when reunification is not a realistic option.
DHR	Increase the number of substance abuse treatment programs that accept parents and children together.
DJS	The Legislature should remove the sunset provision of the Continuum of Care statute to ensure that DJS continues to have the ability to quickly move youth as necessary from committed placements that are not working out, without need for further court action.
DJS	The Legislature should continue to support DJS capital projects to ensure that sufficient in-State secure slots are available for high-risk committed youth.
DDA	Continue to work with other administrations and community resources to allow children to remain in their homes.
DDA	Improve communication and collaboration with other agencies and administrations to identify transition-age youth early and effectively plan for a smoother transition to adult services.
MSDE	Continue working with Maryland providers of services to children with autism through the recently secured Autism Waiver.
MHA	Continue efforts to minimize out-of-State placements through the implementation of a 1915(i) Medicaid State Plan amendment providing intensive wraparound services. The feasibility of in-State Residential Treatment Centers offering specialized services such as treatment for fire-setting and sexually offensive behavior should continue to be assessed. At this time, the low number of potential in-State referrals presents financial barriers to such expansions.

STATEWIDE Addendum


Maryland State Placement Trends by Category



Maryland State Family Home Settings Placement Trends

Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Adoptive Care	100	98	60	47	37	-20.9%	-21.3%
Foster Care	1,552	1,473	1,365	1,327	1,185	-6.5%	-10.7%
Formal Relative (Kinship) Care	1,558	1,460	1,316	1,207	936	-11.7%	-22.5%
Restricted Relative (Kinship) Care	1,027	854	634	491	382	-21.8%	-22.2%
Treatment Foster Care	2,112	2,152	2,100	1,981	1,757	-4.4%	-11.3%
Living Arrangement - Family Home	406	453	365	306	322	-4.7%	5.2%
Total	6,755	6,490	5,840	5,359	4,619	-9.0%	-13.8%


Table 8



Maryland State Community-Based Placement Trends

Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	217	261	234	213	230	2.2%	8.0%
Residential Child Care Program	1,625	1,264	1,105	1,108	966	-11.8%	-12.8%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	135	117	96	84	81	-11.8%	-3.6%
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	58	76	79	60	58	1.9%	-3.3%
Total	2,035	1,718	1,514	1,465	1,335	-9.9%	-8.9%


Table 9



Maryland State Non-Community-Based Placement Trends

Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	9	12	15	14	25	32.6%	78.6%
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	174	178	166	160	185	1.9%	15.6%
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	32	23	35	45	39	9.8%	-13.3%
Residential Educational Facilities	52	59	44	58	53	2.8%	-8.6%
Residential Treatment Centers	988	914	826	719	729	-7.2%	1.4%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	365	400	438	429	387	1.8%	-9.8%
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	84	100	122	106	96	4.6%	-9.4%
Total	1,704	1,686	1,646	1,531	1,514	-2.9%	-1.1%

Table 10



Maryland State Hospitalization Placement Trends


Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
In-Patient Private	7	6	16	5	6	25.9%	20.0%
Psychiatric Hospitalization	22	25	27	13	25	15.5%	92.3%
Total	29	31	43	18	31	14.9%	72.2%

Table 11

STATEWIDE Addendum

Statewide Demographic Comparisons


Age



Maryland State Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	2,122	1,953	1,647	1,616	1,481	-8.5%	-8.4%
6 through 11	1,842	1,562	1,306	1,116	1,034	-13.4%	-7.3%
12 through 17	4,703	4,481	3,972	3,639	3,201	-9.1%	-12.0%
18 and over	2,107	2,364	2,454	2,304	2,107	0.3%	-8.6%
Total	10,774	10,360	9,379	8,675	7,823	-7.7%	-9.8%

Table 12


Race



Maryland State Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	13	10	7	6	6	-16.8%	0.0%
Asian	33	33	33	30	32	-0.6%	6.7%
Black or African American	7,482	7,131	6,289	5,643	4,949	-9.8%	-12.3%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	3	5	5	5	3	6.7%	-40.0%
White	2,602	2,489	2,383	2,388	2,247	-3.6%	-5.9%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	302	309	279	267	236	-5.8%	-11.6%
Other	223	252	238	227	220	-0.1%	-3.1%
Unknown	116	131	145	109	130	4.5%	19.3%
Total	10,774	10,360	9,379	8,675	7,823	-7.7%	-9.8%

Table 14

Gender




Maryland State Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	6,085	5,766	5,285	4,815	4,370	-7.9%	-9.2%
Female	4,689	4,593	4,093	3,859	3,453	-7.3%	-10.5%
Unknown	0	1	1	1	0	NA	-100.0%
Total	10,774	10,360	9,379	8,675	7,823	-7.7%	-9.8%

Table 13

STATEWIDE Addendum

Statewide OOS One-Day Census Totals




Maryland State Out-of-State Placement Trends							
Category	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	69	50	45	39	54	-3.1%	38.5%
Family Home Settings	237	187	141	97	89	-21.3%	-8.2%
Hospitalization	0	0	0	0	1	NA	NA
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	182	140	155	161	155	-3.1%	-3.7%
Placement Category Not Available	0	3	1	1	16	NA	1500.0%
All Categories	488	380	342	298	315	-9.8%	5.7%

Table 15

STATEWIDE Addendum

Statewide OOS Demographic Comparisons


Age



Maryland State Out-of-State Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	89	69	44	28	29	-22.9%	3.6%
6 through 11	69	44	31	25	28	-18.3%	12.0%
12 through 17	210	154	169	155	146	-7.8%	-5.8%
18 and over	120	113	98	90	112	-0.7%	24.4%
Total	488	380	342	298	315	-9.8%	5.7%

Table 16


Race



Maryland State Out-of-State Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	4	2	3	0	1	NA	NA
Black or African American	295	239	235	216	223	-6.4%	3.2%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	169	121	87	69	74	-17.5%	7.2%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	9	7	9	6	6	-6.7%	0.0%
Other	7	6	6	6	8	4.8%	33.3%
Unknown	4	4	2	1	3	25.0%	200.0%
Total	488	380	342	298	315	-9.8%	5.7%

Table 18

Gender



Maryland State Out-of-State Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	323	253	246	221	218	-9.0%	-1.4%
Female	165	127	96	77	97	-10.3%	26.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	488	380	342	298	315	-9.8%	5.7%

Table 17

STATEWIDE Addendum

Statewide OOS Cost Comparisons

Total Costs




Table 19

Per Bed-Day




Table 20

Department of Human Resources (DHR) Summary

DHR prioritizes safety, permanency, and well-being for children and families. DHR is committed to ensuring that children and youth are kept with their families whenever safe and possible. This is one of the central principles of the Place Matters and Family-Centered Practice initiatives. Since the beginning of Place Matters, the number of children in DHR out-of-home care has decreased 42% (10,330 in July 2007 to 5,960 in June 2013).³ The one-day count in Table 1 shows a 31% decrease between January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2013.

When out-of-home placement is necessary, the priority is a family home (family foster home or relative placement). The one-day counts from FY2009-2013 indicate an average of 75% of children in family homes; as of the writing of this report, 83% of all children below age 18 are in this placement category.⁴ The Family-Centered Practices of child and family inclusion in case planning and decision-making have been crucial in achieving these goals.

Family homes (for DHR) are defined as placements in a family setting, and include placement categories of:


- Relative/kinship care (paid/restricted/relative and unpaid/formal kinship care);
- Living arrangements (primarily Trial Home Visits with family of origin, but also including own home/apartment);
- Adoptive care (pre-finalized adoptive homes);
- Foster care (emergency, intermediate, regular foster care, and respite care); and
- Treatment foster care (private and public).

Community-based placements comprise DHR's second-most used placement type; the five-year one-day count average is 16% of all DHR children/youth in placement. For DHR, this includes: college, Job Corps, independent living residential programs, and residential child care programs (group homes). Fifty-five percent (55%) of DHR's community-based population is above age 17 (see Table 33); college, JobCorps, and independent living placements are age-appropriate for this population, and least-restrictive. Additionally, children age 18 and over may choose to remain in care until age 21, but are not legally required to do so.

Less than 1% of children in DHR out-of-home care are placed in the State's most restrictive placements (hospitalizations), while an average of 4% are in non-community-based placements (Residential Treatment Centers, Correctional Institutions, or Secure Detention (Table 21)). Both of these placement categories are necessitated by severe mental health and medical needs, and/or involvement in the juvenile/adult criminal justice system; these placements are primarily driven by the behavioral needs of the child rather than the family's inability to provide a safe environment, although past abuse and trauma may contribute to individual children's mental health issues and/or criminal acting-out behaviors.


³ State Stat Place Matters data - June 2013 – revised 9/26/13; DHR.

⁴ State Stat Place Matters data – August 2013 – revised 10-7-13; DHR.



DHR Placement Trends							
Category	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	1,649	1,321	1,170	1,116	978	-12.1%	-12.4%
Family Home Settings	6,672	6,397	5,765	5,286	4,548	-9.1%	-14.0%
Hospitalization	21	23	38	11	22	25.9%	100.0%
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	335	339	306	299	279	-4.4%	-6.7%
Placement Category Not Available	251	435	336	302	324	11.9%	7.3%
All Categories	8,928	8,515	7,615	7,014	6,151	-8.8%	-12.3%

Table 21



DHR Total Served Trends							
Category	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	15,095	15,510	14,564	14,178	12,498	-4.5%	-11.8%
Community-Based	4,276	3,592	3,317	3,074	2,719	-10.6%	-11.5%
Non-Community-Based	732	831	794	755	751	0.9%	-0.5%
Hospitalization	253	237	208	232	297	5.2%	28.0%
Not Available	1,057	572	887	877	850	1.2%	-3.1%
Total	21,413	20,742	19,770	19,116	17,115	-5.4%	-10.5%

Table 22

DHR Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	8,685	12,057	20,742	12,789	7,953
2011	7,953	11,817	19,770	12,261	7,509
2012	7,341	11,775	19,116	12,396	6,720
2013	6,606	10,509	17,115	11,157	5,958
Three-Year Change	-23.9%	-12.8%	-100.0%	-12.8%	-25.1%
Average Yearly Change	-7.9%	-0.9%	-3.8%	-0.6%	-8.9%
Recent Year Change	-10.0%	-10.8%	-100.0%	-10.0%	-11.3%

Table 23

Table 22 and Table 23 show data on placements, which include new entries into DHR out-of-home care, placement changes (counted as both “entries” and “exits”) among children already in DHR out-of-home care, and children exiting DHR out-of-home care. The Total Served, Entries, and Exits numbers are duplicate counts of children in care, but represent the number of actual placements – that is, a child may have multiple placements within the fiscal year, and each placement is counted in Table 22 and Table 23 (and so therefore the child is counted multiple times). These placement changes are often appropriate and to a lesser level of “restrictiveness” – for example, a child may move from a group home to a family foster home and then to trial home visit with his/her biological parents, in preparation for reunification. Or, a child may need a short-term hospitalization (for either psychiatric or other medical reasons) and then be placed with a relative.

Across all 24 Maryland jurisdictions, an average of 50% of all children in DHR out-of-home care are placed in their home jurisdiction (Table 24). These placements are in alignment with Place Matters and Family-Centered Practice values, which focus on the placement of children close to their families and communities when safe and possible, in order to maintain relationships and facilitate frequent family visitation. Other children may be placed in adjacent jurisdictions or even out-of-State, which may be closer to a child’s home than a location within the same jurisdiction or State. Additionally, relative placements even out of the jurisdiction (or out-of-State) may be preferable to non-relative placements within the jurisdiction.

More than half (58%) of children in DHR out-of-home care come from Baltimore City and Baltimore County. Another 17% come from Montgomery and Prince George’s Counties.⁵ These four jurisdictions have more than half of their own children placed within their jurisdiction (Table 24).

Additionally, care must be taken when interpreting Family Home data, if used to analyze private provider usage. Table 28 shows that 36% of Family Home placements are specific to individual children – meaning, they are relative homes: Formal Relative (Kinship) Care, Restrictive Relative (Kinship Care), or Living Arrangement – Family Home (primarily trial home visits). Meanwhile, another 1% are adoptive homes, 37% are Treatment Foster Care placements (the majority of which are private providers), and 26% are regular foster care which typically are public homes (*i.e.*, licensed by DHR). These details are included to assist private providers (and others) to accurately predict the need and usage of private provider Family Homes for DHR children/youth.

⁵ Each other LDSS has less than 4% of all children in DHR out-of-home care.

DHR Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	58	1.0%	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	1	
Anne Arundel	154	2.7%	0	81	20	8	1	1	0	1	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	14	9	
Baltimore	609	10.8%	0	9	338	138	0	1	6	8	0	2	2	2	27	13	0	5	11	0	0	1	0	9	2	0	9	26
Baltimore City	2627	46.8%	3	53	763	1389	0	1	8	2	2	1	2	6	49	22	0	12	96	2	13	6	0	5	3	0	69	120
Calvert	84	1.5%	0	5	5	1	44	2	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	
Caroline	41	0.7%	0	0	5	1	0	24	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	1	0		
Carroll	36	0.6%	0	0	3	2	0	0	27	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	126	2.2%	0	0	14	8	0	1	0	85	0	2	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	
Charles	82	1.5%	0	0	7	4	0	0	1	0	50	0	1	0	0	0	3	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	
Dorchester	16	0.3%	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	
Frederick	122	2.2%	2	0	8	4	0	2	1	1	0	0	68	0	1	0	8	4	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	3	1	
Garrett	32	0.6%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	
Harford	220	3.9%	0	2	37	13	0	4	2	8	0	0	1	1	134	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	7	7		
Howard	67	1.2%	0	1	24	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	
Kent	5	0.1%	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	385	6.9%	2	10	34	18	1	0	4	1	3	0	8	0	3	7	0	211	36	0	0	0	16	0	0	17	14	
Prince George's	550	9.8%	3	7	48	32	6	1	3	5	8	3	2	2	2	12	0	21	341	0	7	0	2	1	0	25	19	
Queen Anne's	20	0.4%	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	2		
Somerset	32	0.6%	0	1	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	7	2	0	1	
St. Mary's	99	1.8%	0	0	6	3	6	0	0	0	14	1	2	0	3	2	0	2	14	1	0	38	0	0	0	3	4	
Talbot	23	0.4%	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	5	0	3	4	0	0
Washington	168	3.0%	2	1	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	4	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	119	0	0	4	8	
Wicomico	36	0.6%	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	17	1	4	0	
Worcester	26	0.5%	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	7	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	5618	100.0%	54	170	1340	1641	58	44	53	112	93	34	105	43	227	82	6	271	531	14	35	50	7	189	51	14	171	223
% of children from jurisdiction			72.4%	52.6%	55.5%	52.9%	52.4%	58.5%	75.0%	67.5%	61.0%	31.3%	55.7%	65.6%	60.9%	35.8%	20.0%	54.8%	62.0%	40.0%	28.1%	38.4%	21.7%	70.8%	47.2%	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			1.0%	3.0%	23.9%	29.2%	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	2.0%	1.7%	0.6%	1.9%	0.8%	4.0%	1.5%	0.1%	4.8%	9.5%	0.2%	0.6%	0.9%	0.1%	3.4%	0.9%	0.2%	3.0%	4.0%

Table 24

Demographics

As of January 31, 2013, 24% of children in DHR out-of-home care were age 5 and younger; 15% were ages 6 to 11; 33% were ages 12 to 17; and 28% were age 18 and older. More than half (61%) are over age 11 (Table 32 through Table 35). This has significant implications for placement needs and challenges, as the largest number of children in care are older children and youth. Foster parent skills, therapeutic treatments, and other service needs of older children and youth are different than those of infants, toddlers, and young children.

Gender, however, remains nearly evenly split between males and females for the general out-of-home population and for the Family Home population. Males are more predominant in the Community-Based and Non-Community-Based placements, although Hospitalizations show a more even split (Table 36 through Table 39).

Although there is still a significant gap, over the past several years the percentage of Black/African-American children in DHR out-of-home care has been decreasing while the percentage of White children has been increasing. In 2012, 67% of children in DHR out-of-home care were Black/African-American; in 2013, the percentage fell to 65%. In 2012, 26% of children in DHR out-of-home care were White; in 2013, 28% were White. While these are small shifts, they represent a five-year trend narrowing this gap. The difference between the percentages of Black/African-American and White are larger in Community-Based and Non-Community-Based placements than in Family Home placements or Hospitalizations (Table 40 through Table 43).

Placement Subcategory Trends

As the total DHR out-of-home care population has decreased since July 2007, the numbers of family home and community-based placements has correspondingly decreased. Table 22 shows that the total number of family home placements has had an average annual decrease of 4.5% since 2009, and the total number of community-based placements has had an average annual decrease of 10.6% since 2009. The larger decrease in community-based placements is a result of the Place Matters focus on family home placements for children, and the principle that every child deserves a family.

Non-community based placements have remained nearly stable for the past five years, although hospitalizations have slightly increased over the past five years (Table 22).

Within the family home subcategory, the greatest average annual decreases over the past five years are seen in adoptive and formal relative (kinship) and restrictive relative (kinship) care placements (Table 28). Meanwhile, although the raw numbers of foster care, treatment foster care, and living arrangement/family homes (trial home visit or own home/apartment) has decreased, the proportion of children in these placements (out of all DHR placements) has been increasing.

Within community-based placements, there has been little change in the raw number of independent living programs (Table 29), although the proportion of those placements has increased from 12% in 2009 to 22% in 2013. As mentioned above, 28% of all children/youth in DHR out-of-home care are age 18 years and older, and Table 12 shows that 55% of all youth in DHR community-based placements are age 18 and older. Children are eligible for independent living programs at age 16, and for older youth, these are often the most appropriate and least restrictive placements.

Residential child care program (group home) placement numbers have reduced significantly over the past several years, with an average annual reduction of 15% since 2009 (Table 29). The shift from group home to family home placements for a majority of children and youth is a central principle of the Place Matters initiative, with a reduction from 16% in 2009 to 12% in 2013 of children DHR out-of-home care placed in residential child care programs.

Out-of-State


Only 3% of all DHR out-of-home placements on January 31, 2013 were out-of-State. Of those, the largest number was family home placements, and 71% of those children were placed with family members or adoptive homes. Six percent (6%) of all community-based placements were out-of-State, primarily residential child care (group home) placements but also independent living, college, and JobCorps placements. Residential Treatment Centers, hospitalizations, and detention and correctional institutions were other subcategories of out-of-State placements (Table 44 through Table 46).

Costs

DHR funds only two categories of placements - family home and community-based placements - although not all of these placements require funding. Family foster home placements of trial home placement and formal kinship care placements do not require funding, nor do some types of community-based placements. Hospitalizations are paid for by Medical Assistance, as is the residential portion of Residential Treatment Center placements (non-community-based); the other non-community-based placements of secure detention or correctional institution are mandated for and paid for by DJS for youth detained, charged, adjudicated, and/or found guilty of criminal or delinquent behavior. Data on non-paid placements is presented in Table 27.


Over the past five fiscal years, DHR's costs have continued to decrease, with an average annual decrease of 10% (Table 25). In FY2013, residential costs were slightly under \$2 million, for the first time in several years. In FY2009, the costs were just more than \$3 million.

Community-based placements continue to have higher per bed-day costs than family home placements, as shown in Table 26, with an FY2013 average bed-day cost of \$243.80 compared to \$99.23 for family home placements (only paid placements were included in these averages). Despite the higher per bed-day cost, community-based placements constitute 41% of all DHR residential placements costs, while family homes constitute 59%; this is due to the larger number of family home placements.



DHR Total Costs							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	\$156,230,898	\$115,833,311	\$93,862,100	\$89,645,537	\$82,856,211	-14.2%	-7.6%
Family Home Settings	\$145,335,273	\$148,811,233	\$131,576,951	\$125,716,002	\$117,085,829	-5.1%	-6.9%
Hospitalization	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
Not Available	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
All Categories	\$301,566,171	\$264,644,544	\$225,439,051	\$215,361,539	\$199,942,040	-9.7%	-7.2%

Table 25



DHR Costs Per Bed-Day							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	\$281	\$250	\$229	\$233	\$244	-3.3%	4.6%
Family Home Settings	\$86	\$92	\$88	\$93	\$99	3.8%	6.7%
Hospitalization	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Not Available	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
All Categories	\$135	\$127	\$118	\$124	\$132	-0.5%	6.1%

Table 26

Non-DHR Funded Placements for FY2013		
Category	Total Placements	Number of Bed Days
Community-Based Residential Placement	99	16,012
Family Home Settings	4,027	474,404
Hospitalization	301	9,725
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	753	101,108
Unknown	843	94,741
Total	6,023	695,990

Table 27

Recommendations

The primary goal of DHR is to prevent maltreatment and out-of-home placement of children and youth. When placement is necessary to protect a child's safety, reunification is the preferred goal; therefore, services that can support these goals are the priority of DHR. Community-based services are crucial, including trauma-informed therapy, Family Preservation, in-home supports, evidence-based or promising practices, parenting skills training, therapeutic aftercare services, post-permanency services (reunification and adoption) and substance abuse treatment. Most critical is that these community-based services be available to biological families (not just foster parents/private providers), with or without DHR involvement. Parents need assistance in managing stress as well as their own past trauma, substance abuse, and mental health issues. Parents need access to support and training on parenting issues – general parenting skills, anger management, financial management, parenting teenage children and parenting children with special needs. Transportation is cited as a need in every jurisdiction – both intra- and inter-jurisdiction public transportation – for both parents and older youth.

Older youth constitute the largest DHR out-of-home population; therefore a wide array of services are needed for this group, including expanded opportunities for job training and low-cost housing options for youth exiting out-of-home care. Teen parents need supportive services – both while in DHR out-of-home care, and when they exit care.

Specialized and intensive services are needed for medically fragile, developmentally delayed children, and children/youth with severe mental health disorders, who come into DHR out-of-home care through Voluntary Placement Agreements when there is no other way to receive services.


Although reunification and guardianship are always the primary goal for permanency, this is not always possible. Adoptive families are needed, especially those willing to adopt older children and youth.

Last, in terms of placement types, there is a need for substance abuse treatment programs that accept parents and children together.

DHR Addendum


Subcategory One-Day Census Totals

Placement Trends




DHR Family Home Settings Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Adoptive Care	100	98	60	47	37	-20.9%	-21.3%
Foster Care	1,547	1,466	1,358	1,321	1,180	-6.5%	-10.7%
Formal Relative (Kinship) Care	1,558	1,460	1,316	1,207	936	-11.7%	-22.5%
Restrictive Relative (Kinship) Care	1,027	854	634	491	382	-21.8%	-22.2%
Treatment Foster Care	2,034	2,066	2,032	1,914	1,691	-4.4%	-11.7%
Living Arrangement - Family Home	406	453	365	306	322	-4.7%	5.2%
Total	6,672	6,397	5,765	5,286	4,548	-9.1%	-14.0%

Table 28




DHR Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	195	229	205	197	212	2.7%	7.6%
Residential Child Care Program	1,396	1,016	886	859	708	-15.2%	-17.6%
Community Supported Living Arrangement	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	58	76	79	60	58	1.9%	-3.3%
Total	1,649	1,321	1,170	1,116	978	-12.1%	-12.4%

Table 29



DHR Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	251	239	184	193	183	-7.0%	-5.2%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	84	100	122	106	96	4.6%	-9.4%
Total	335	339	306	299	279	-4.4%	-6.7%

Table 30




DHR Hospitalization Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
In-Patient Private	7	6	16	5	6	25.9%	20.0%
Psychiatric Hospitalization	14	17	22	6	16	36.2%	166.7%
Total	21	23	38	11	22	25.9%	100.0%

Table 31

DHR Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Age




DHR Family Home Settings Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	2,091	1,892	1,622	1,589	1,461	-8.5%	-8.1%
6 through 11	1,599	1,386	1,166	984	871	-14.1%	-11.5%
12 through 17	2,069	2,140	1,960	1,744	1,377	-9.3%	-21.0%
18 and over	913	979	1,017	969	839	-1.8%	-13.4%
Total	6,672	6,397	5,765	5,286	4,548	-9.1%	-14.0%

Table 32




DHR Non-Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	30	17	21	27	17	-7.1%	-37.0%
12 through 17	255	250	193	192	186	-7.1%	-3.1%
18 and over	50	72	92	80	76	13.4%	-5.0%
Total	335	339	306	299	279	-4.4%	-6.7%

Table 34



DHR Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	15	4	1	6	5	83.8%	-16.7%
6 through 11	116	71	34	36	36	-21.3%	0.0%
12 through 17	914	610	510	475	401	-18.0%	-15.6%
18 and over	604	636	625	599	536	-2.8%	-10.5%
Total	1,649	1,321	1,170	1,116	978	-12.1%	-12.4%

Table 33




DHR Hospitalization Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	2	3	4	5	4	22.1%	-20.0%
6 through 11	2	0	5	1	0	NA	-100.0%
12 through 17	15	16	24	4	12	43.3%	200.0%
18 and over	2	4	5	1	6	136.3%	500.0%
Total	21	23	38	11	22	25.9%	100.0%

Table 35

DHR Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Gender




DHR Family Home Settings Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	3,377	3,194	2,853	2,568	2,241	-9.7%	-12.7%
Female	3,295	3,203	2,911	2,717	2,307	-8.4%	-15.1%
Unknown	0	0	1	1	0	NA	-100.0%
Total	6,672	6,397	5,765	5,286	4,548	-9.1%	-14.0%

Table 36




DHR Non-Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	206	204	204	188	180	-3.3%	-4.3%
Female	129	135	102	111	99	-5.4%	-10.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	335	339	306	299	279	-4.4%	-6.7%

Table 38



DHR Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	969	779	702	647	543	-13.4%	-16.1%
Female	680	542	468	469	435	-10.2%	-7.2%
Unknown	0	0	0	1	0	NA	-100.0%
Total	1,649	1,321	1,170	1,117	978	-12.1%	-12.4%

Table 37




DHR Hospitalization Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	8	8	23	7	10	40.2%	42.9%
Female	13	15	15	4	12	35.5%	200.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	21	23	38	11	22	25.9%	100.0%

Table 39

DHR Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Race




DHR Family Home Settings Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	5	5	1	2	3	17.5%	50.0%
Asian	13	12	14	13	11	-3.4%	-15.4%
Black or African American	4,845	4,588	3,931	3,479	2,866	-12.2%	-17.6%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	1	2	2	2	1	12.5%	-50.0%
White	1,403	1,356	1,384	1,403	1,300	-1.8%	-7.3%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	234	250	227	212	188	-5.1%	-11.3%
Other	93	113	120	112	86	-0.5%	-23.2%
Unknown	78	71	86	63	93	8.3%	47.6%
Total	6,672	6,397	5,765	5,286	4,548	-9.1%	-14.0%

Table 40




DHR Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	2	1	1	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	9	8	10	6	3	-19.0%	-50.0%
Black or African American	1,190	915	841	766	676	-13.0%	-11.7%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	2	2	1	1	1	-12.5%	0.0%
White	369	318	267	284	248	-9.0%	-12.7%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	42	33	26	35	32	-4.1%	-8.6%
Other	20	17	11	17	12	-6.3%	-29.4%
Unknown	15	27	13	7	6	-8.1%	-14.3%
Total	1,649	1,321	1,170	1,116	978	-12.1%	-12.4%

Table 41



DHR Non-Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	2	0	1	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	216	217	213	200	187	-3.5%	-6.5%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	94	107	74	81	75	-3.7%	-7.4%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	20	13	10	14	9	-13.4%	-35.7%
Other	2	0	6	3	8	NA	166.7%
Unknown	0	1	2	1	0	NA	-100.0%
Total	335	339	306	299	279	-4.4%	-6.7%

Table 42




DHR Hospitalization Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	10	12	15	5	14	39.6%	180.0%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	8	7	15	5	8	23.8%	60.0%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	2	1	7	1	0	91.1%	-100.0%
Other	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	NA	NA
Total	21	23	38	11	22	25.9%	100.0%

Table 43

DHR Addendum


Subcategory OOS One-Day Census Totals

Placement Trends




DHR Out-of-State Family Home Settings Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Adoptive Care	14	10	9	7	1	-36.6%	-85.7%
Foster Care	102	83	53	34	24	-30.0%	-29.4%
Formal Relative (Kinship) Care	41	22	26	23	27	-5.6%	17.4%
Restrictive Relative (Kinship) Care	70	63	44	25	19	-26.8%	-24.0%
Treatment Foster Care	6	9	9	6	2	-12.5%	-66.7%
Living Arrangement - Family Home	4	0	0	2	16	NA	700.0%
All Categories	237	187	141	97	89	-21.3%	-8.2%

Table 44



DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	0	0	0	0	1	NA	NA
Residential Child Care Program	65	47	44	38	42	-9.3%	10.5%
Community Supported Living Arrangement	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	2	1	1	1	11	237.5%	1000.0%
Total	67	48	45	39	54	-2.4%	38.5%

Table 45




DHR Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	1	3	5	NA	66.7%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	4	NA	NA
All Categories	0	0	1	3	9	NA	200.0%

Table 46


DHR Addendum Subcategory OOS Demographic Comparisons

Age



DHR Out-of-State Family Home Settings Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	89	68	44	28	29	-22.9%	3.6%
6 through 11	67	42	29	22	24	-20.8%	9.1%
12 through 17	66	64	56	37	23	-21.8%	-37.8%
18 and over	15	13	12	10	13	-1.9%	30.0%
Total	237	187	141	97	89	-21.3%	-8.2%


Table 47



DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	0	1	1	2	NA	100.0%
12 through 17	32	18	23	18	22	-3.9%	22.2%
18 and over	35	30	21	20	30	0.2%	50.0%
Total	67	48	45	39	54	-2.4%	38.5%


Table 48

Gender



DHR Out-of-State Family Home Settings Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	110	95	70	46	40	-21.8%	-13.0%
Female	127	92	71	51	49	-20.6%	-3.9%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	237	187	141	97	89	-21.3%	-8.2%

Table 49




DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	44	28	29	25	30	-6.6%	20.0%
Female	23	20	16	14	24	6.5%	71.4%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	67	48	45	39	54	-2.4%	38.5%

Table 50

DHR Addendum


Subcategory OOS Demographic Comparisons

Race



DHR Out-of-State Family Home Settings Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	1	1	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	150	119	92	63	54	-22.3%	-14.3%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	75	58	40	28	30	-19.1%	7.1%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	5	7	8	4	2	-11.4%	-50.0%
Other	3	0	0	1	1	NA	0.0%
Unknown	3	2	0	1	2	NA	100.0%
Total	237	187	141	97	89	-21.3%	-8.2%


Table 51



DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	1	1	0	1	NA	NA
Black or African American	26	24	26	21	31	7.3%	47.6%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	34	21	17	16	19	-11.1%	18.8%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	4	0	1	2	3	NA	50.0%
Other	2	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Unknown	0	2	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	67	48	45	39	54	-2.4%	38.5%


Table 52

DHR Addendum Subcategory Cost Comparisons Total Costs



DHR Family Home Settings Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$145,335,272	\$148,811,233	\$131,576,951	\$125,716,002	\$117,085,829	-5.1%	-6.9%


Table 53



DHR Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$156,230,898	\$115,833,310	\$93,862,099	\$89,645,537	\$82,856,211	-14.2%	-7.6%


Table 54

Per Bed-Day



DHR Family Home Settings Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$87	\$92	\$88	\$93	\$99	3.5%	6.4%

Table 55




DHR Community-Based Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$281	\$250	\$229	\$234	\$244	-3.3%	4.3%

Table 56

DHR Addendum


Subcategory OOS Cost Comparisons

Total Costs



DHR Out-of-State Family Home Settings Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$142,750	\$117,590	\$87,060	\$65,818	\$56,033	-20.7%	-14.9%


Table 57



DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$4,668,352	\$2,472,515	\$6,167,030	\$6,481,015	\$6,545,427	27.1%	1.0%

Table 58

Per Bed-Day



DHR Out-of-State Community-Based Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Total	\$196	\$132	\$353	\$380	\$412	37.8%	8.2%

Table 59

Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) Summary

DJS has in recent years focused on reducing the time youth who have been committed by the juvenile court to out-of-home placement must stay in detention centers prior to placement. Central to these efforts is ensuring that placement decisions are made in a timely, structured, and informed manner, and that youth are ultimately placed into programs meeting both the security and treatment needs of the youth to ensure a successful placement that does not result in a removal back to detention. At the same time, DJS has worked to ensure that those placement options are available by increasing the number of in-home slots for lower-risk youth and more secure placement options for higher-risk youth. Initiatives include:

More structured risk and needs assessments.

Assessment and treatment planning policies have been refined to better capture the specific treatment needs of each youth, and to structure and guide the placement and case-management processes. The Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Services Planning (MCASP) has been in place since FY2010 to guide case-forwarding and case-management decisions based on structured risk and needs assessments.

Increased capacity and use of in-home evidence-based programs for lower-risk youth.

These programs are meant for youth who are at risk of out-of-home placement, but can be kept at home with intensive family-based services. In prior years such youth may have been placed in group homes or other community-based residential programs, due more to family and home issues than to significant risk to public safety. Since these in-home evidence-based programs (including Functional Family Therapy and Multisystemic Therapy) have been available, DJS use of family home settings (mainly Treatment Foster Care), and community-based residential programs (mainly Group Homes and Therapeutic Group Homes) has declined, as lower-risk youth are kept home.

Increased capacity for non-community-based residential programs for higher-risk youth.

These slots (at the State-run Victor Cullen and Schaefer House programs, and the private Silver Oak Academy program) now have more capacity to serve higher-risk youth that may have in previous years been either placed in out-of-State non-community-based placements or in Maryland non-secure community-based residential programs - often with unsuccessful outcomes. Thus, the decline in family home setting and community-based residential placements over the past few years can also be attributed to this increase in more secure slots, as higher-risk youth are more appropriately placed. One of the drivers of pending placement populations has been the youth that had been placed into non-secure programs, only to be sent back to detention from programs that were not equipped to manage behavior.

Legislative changes allowing for rapid administrative transfer of committed youth.

Statutory changes passed during the 2011 legislative session give DJS the ability to move youth from placements that are not working out to different committed programs of either equal or higher security without need for a new court hearing. The Continuum of Care statute now allows DJS (through its new Central Review Committee) to review and, as necessary, quickly move such youth to more secure non-community-based residential programs that are better able to meet the security and treatment needs of the youth. This reduces the need for many youth to be sent back to detention pending a court hearing. Having more programming capacity at the non-community-based residential placement level is key to the success of this initiative.


Restructuring the placement decision process for youth at risk of out-of-home placement.

The Multi-disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST) process - an enriched multi-disciplinary process, intended to develop comprehensive individualized plans for youth who are removed from home, and to match youth with the right programs and services so that youth will be successful - is being implemented across the State in FY2014. This process has shown initial success at moving youth more quickly through the placement decision process, thus reducing the time youth spend in detention centers prior to placement.

Streamlining placement process for out-of-State placements.


A large portion of secure placement options for committed youth continues to be in out-of-State programs, and the placement process for these youth has often led to long stays in detention for youth requiring secure placement. Youth being placed in out-of-State placements were previously required to be reviewed by the State Coordinating Council (SCC). In the last year, the SCC has reevaluated and restructured the way it reviews the information on out-of-State placements. Individual reviews, seen as duplicative of the work being done at the local and State-agency levels have been discontinued. The referral form has also been streamlined to ensure that the SCC notification process does not interfere with placing a youth into the most appropriate placement possible based on individual needs. DJS developed a checklist and tip-sheet for DJS personnel to use which structured and streamlined internal processes. Once it is determined that in-State options have been exhausted, no out-of-State placement for a youth should be delayed due to incorrect or incomplete administrative requirements.

The re-opening of the Victor Cullen Center by DJS in FY2007 created 48 slots of secure in-State programming. Since then, no additional capacity has been added in Maryland. DJS has capital projects slated for future years that would add 96 additional slots. Until these capital projects are constructed, youth requiring hardware-secure committed placements will continue to need an out-of-State placement.



DJS Placement Trends							
Category	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	238	268	237	254	254	2.1%	0.0%
Family Home Settings	83	93	75	73	71	-3.2%	-2.7%
Hospitalization	8	8	5	7	9	7.8%	28.6%
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	556	562	630	623	614	2.7%	-1.4%
Placement Category Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
All Categories	885	931	947	957	948	1.8%	-0.9%

Table 60



DJS Total Served Trends							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	210	210	208	173	184	-2.9%	6.4%
Community-Based	902	783	692	688	694	-6.1%	0.9%
Non-Community-Based	1,915	1,922	1,883	2,070	2,005	1.3%	-3.1%
Hospitalization	73	70	84	74	96	8.4%	29.7%
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	3,100	2,985	2,867	3,005	2,979	-0.9%	-0.9%


Table 61

DJS Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	894	2,091	2,985	2,104	881
2011	881	1,986	2,867	1,894	973
2012	961	2,044	3,005	2,039	966
2013	950	2,029	2,979	2,049	930
Three-Year Change	6.3%	-3.0%	-0.2%	-2.6%	5.6%
Average Yearly Change	5.6%	0.3%	1.9%	1.8%	3.0%
Recent Year Change	-1.1%	-0.7%	-0.9%	0.5%	-3.7%

Table 62


DJS Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013																												
Home Jurisdiction of Children			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction																											
Allegany	33	1.6%	22	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	259	12.8%	41	5	63	37	0	0	11	0	0	16	17	25	2	0	2	10	3	0	0	0	0	4	11	0	12	0
Baltimore	151	7.4%	25	0	17	28	0	0	2	0	0	7	17	28	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	12	0
Baltimore City	402	19.8%	47	3	56	57	0	0	23	0	0	8	41	48	0	2	5	22	5	0	0	0	0	20	12	0	53	0
Calvert	21	1.0%	8	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	
Caroline	8	0.4%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
Carroll	46	2.3%	15	0	9	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	
Cecil	23	1.1%	2	0	2	9	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	45	2.2%	10	1	10	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0
Dorchester	33	1.6%	6	0	7	6	1	1	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	
Frederick	59	2.9%	19	0	3	10	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	13	0.6%	6	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	44	2.2%	6	0	11	10	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Howard	36	1.8%	5	1	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	
Kent	7	0.3%	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	176	8.7%	54	1	11	19	0	0	1	0	0	1	18	23	0	0	3	29	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	11	0	
Prince George's	342	16.9%	61	5	33	41	1	0	20	0	0	9	31	41	0	0	8	27	15	0	0	0	17	4	0	29	0	
Queen Anne's	17	0.8%	2	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	
Somerset	14	0.7%	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	42	2.1%	8	0	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	
Talbot	13	0.6%	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
Washington	59	2.9%	21	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	
Wicomico	118	5.8%	21	0	28	17	0	2	0	0	0	8	9	10	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	
Worcester	24	1.2%	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
OOS	44	2.2%	5	0	4	4	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	14	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	2029	100.0%	396	16	309	273	3	6	72	0	1	68	166	216	3	2	39	132	34	0	0	0	91	62	0	140	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			66.7%	1.9%	11.3%	14.2%	4.8%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	2.2%	6.1%	6.8%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	16.5%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.9%	8.5%	0.0%	31.8%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			19.5%	0.8%	15.2%	13.5%	0.1%	0.3%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	8.2%	10.6%	0.1%	0.1%	1.9%	6.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	3.1%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	

Table 63



DJS Total Costs							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	\$21,242,760	\$24,592,016	\$23,676,804	\$21,634,051	\$26,725,210	6.7%	23.5%
Family Home Settings	\$4,679,628	\$5,717,155	\$4,575,954	\$4,517,994	\$5,329,639	4.7%	18.0%
Hospitalization	\$110,292	\$97,064	\$28,977	\$14,946	\$41,220	11.3%	175.8%
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	\$48,362,284	\$45,458,947	\$48,695,167	\$59,475,243	\$56,581,033	4.6%	-4.9%
Not Available	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
All Categories	\$74,394,964	\$75,865,182	\$76,976,902	\$85,642,234	\$88,677,102	4.6%	3.5%

Table 64



DJS Costs Per Bed-Day							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Community-Based Residential Placement	\$191	\$225	\$225	\$233	\$251	7.3%	7.7%
Family Home Settings	\$274	\$177	\$271	\$184	\$206	-0.7%	11.6%
Hospitalization	\$140	\$141	\$99	\$168	\$118	2.9%	-29.5%
Non-Community-Based Residential Placement	\$244	\$349	\$243	\$329	\$281	8.5%	-14.5%
Not Available	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
All Categories	\$227	\$279	\$239	\$287	\$266	5.3%	-7.5%

Table 65

Recommendations

The Legislature should remove the sunset provision of the Continuum of Care statute to ensure that DJS continues to have the ability to quickly move youth as necessary from committed placements that are not working out, without need for further court action. Repealing the termination date of June 1, 2014, in Chapter 198 of the Acts of 2012 will permit DJS to continue to leverage current resources and to strengthen the DJS Continuum of Care to best serve youth committed to DJS for treatment and rehabilitation by:

- Eliminating a youth's time in detention when a youth is ejected from a residential placement. Youth do not receive treatment services while awaiting placement in detention.
- Reducing the likelihood a youth will be released from pending placement without the benefit of treatment when they remain in pending placement for long periods of time.
- Decreasing the overall length of time the youth stays in committed status with DJS, by allowing DJS to swiftly address treatment concerns and issues without the youth being placed in detention.

The Continuum of Care legislation has a significant impact on DJS operations. The implementation of this legislation has led to a sustained reduction of youth pending placement in detention centers and has improved the youth's ability to receive the required treatment services.

The Legislature should continue to support DJS capital projects to ensure that DJS has access to adequate capacity to serve the diverse needs of the youth that require an out-of-home placement.

DJS is participating on a subcommittee of the Interagency Rate-Setting Committee (IRC) to evaluate the current rate setting process for residential child care programs. The subcommittee is exploring ways in which the process can a) allow for flexibility and innovation in order to meet the needs of children placed in out-of-home care; and b) establish a link between the rate and performance-based outcomes of the program and individual children.

DJS Addendum


Subcategory One-Day Census Totals

Placement Trends




DJS Family Home Settings Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Adoptive Care	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Foster Care	5	7	7	6	5	2.3%	-16.7%
Formal Relative (Kinship) Care	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Restrictive Relative (Kinship) Care	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Treatment Foster Care	78	86	68	67	66	-3.4%	-1.5%
Living Arrangement - Family Home	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	83	93	75	73	71	-3.2%	-2.7%

Table 66



DJS Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	16	20	20	16	18	4.4%	12.5%
Residential Child Care Programs	222	248	217	238	236	2.0%	-0.8%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	238	268	237	254	254	2.1%	0.0%

Table 67




DJS Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	9	12	15	14	25	32.6%	78.6%
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	178	180	178	167	185	1.2%	10.8%
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	28	21	23	38	39	13.1%	2.6%
Residential Educational Facilities	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	156	156	180	155	153	0.1%	-1.3%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	184	192	234	249	212	4.4%	-14.9%
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	556	562	630	623	614	2.7%	-1.4%

Table 68

DJS Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Age




DJS Family Home Settings Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	0	0	0	1	NA	NA
12 through 17	47	60	42	33	34	-5.2%	3.0%
18 and over	36	33	33	40	36	0.7%	-10.0%
Total	83	93	75	73	71	-3.2%	-2.7%

Table 69



DJS Non-Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	1	1	1	3	NA	200.0%
12 through 17	442	428	466	488	482	2.3%	-1.2%
18 and over	114	133	163	134	129	4.4%	-3.7%
Total	556	562	630	623	614	2.7%	-1.4%

Table 71




DJS Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
12 through 17	192	211	174	196	195	1.1%	-0.5%
18 and over	46	57	63	58	59	7.1%	1.7%
Total	238	268	237	254	254	2.1%	0.0%

Table 70

DJS Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Gender




DJS Family Home Settings Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	66	68	57	52	54	-4.5%	3.8%
Female	17	25	18	21	17	4.2%	-19.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	83	93	75	73	71	-3.2%	-2.7%

Table 72



DJS Non-Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	502	506	575	565	545	2.3%	-3.5%
Female	54	56	55	58	69	6.6%	19.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	556	562	630	623	614	2.7%	-1.4%

Table 74




DJS Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	191	213	189	197	194	0.7%	-1.5%
Female	47	55	48	57	60	7.1%	5.3%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	238	268	237	254	254	2.1%	0.0%

Table 73

DJS Addendum


Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Race




DJS Family Home Settings Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	54	62	47	43	37	-8.0%	-14.0%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	24	25	23	25	31	7.2%	24.0%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	4	4	5	4	3	-5.0%	-25.0%
Unknown	1	1	0	1	0	NA	-100.0%
Total	83	93	75	73	71	-3.2%	-2.7%

Table 75



DJS Non-Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	2	1	3	0	2	NA	NA
Black or African American	383	398	456	450	450	4.3%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	154	132	143	151	131	-3.4%	-13.2%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	14	25	24	22	31	26.8%	40.9%
Unknown	2	5	4	0	0	NA	NA
Total	556	562	630	623	614	2.7%	-1.4%

Table 77




DJS Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	1	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	1	0	0	2	NA	NA
Black or African American	169	205	182	185	168	0.6%	-9.2%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	60	54	42	60	66	5.2%	10.0%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	7	7	11	9	18	34.7%	100.0%
Unknown	0	1	2	0	0	NA	NA
Total	238	268	237	254	254	2.1%	0.0%

Table 76

DJS Addendum

Subcategory OOS One-Day Census Totals




DJS Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	1	2	5	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	28	19	28	38	30	7.5%	-21.1%
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	32	21	23	18	26	-0.5%	44.4%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	51	53	68	76	67	8.0%	-11.8%
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	112	95	124	132	123	3.7%	-6.8%

Table 78

DJS Addendum Subcategory OOS Demographic Comparisons

Age




DJS Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Age Trends

Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
12 through 17	78	59	79	88	79	2.7%	-10.2%
18 and over	34	36	45	44	44	7.2%	0.0%
Total	112	95	124	132	123	3.7%	-6.8%

Table 79

Race




DJS Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Race Trends

Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	2	0	1	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	92	78	104	119	107	5.6%	-10.1%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	17	12	12	8	10	-9.4%	25.0%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	1	4	6	5	6	88.3%	20.0%
Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	NA	NA
Total	112	95	124	132	123	3.7%	-6.8%

Table 81

Gender



DJS Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Gender Trends


Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	107	89	123	130	117	4.3%	-10.0%
Female	5	6	1	2	6	59.2%	200.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	112	95	124	132	123	3.7%	-6.8%

Table 80

DJS Addendum


Subcategory Cost Comparisons

Total Costs




DJS Family Home Settings Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Foster Care	\$87,217	\$108,702	\$94,347	\$85,937	\$83,656	0.0%	-2.7%
Treatment Foster Care	\$4,592,411	\$5,608,453	\$4,481,607	\$4,432,057	\$5,245,983	4.8%	18.4%
Total	\$4,679,628	\$5,717,155	\$4,575,954	\$4,517,994	\$5,329,639	4.7%	18.0%

Table 82



DJS Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	\$2,735,959	\$1,423,270	\$2,879,310	\$2,197,844	\$1,314,246	-2.4%	-40.2%
Residential Child Care Programs	\$18,506,801	\$23,168,746	\$20,797,494	\$19,436,207	\$25,410,964	9.8%	30.7%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
Total	\$21,242,760	\$24,592,016	\$23,676,804	\$21,634,051	\$26,725,210	6.7%	23.5%


Table 83



DJS Non-Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	\$257,615	\$469,239	\$772,896	\$539,495	\$1,303,799	64.6%	141.7%
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	\$26,032,363	\$24,558,746	\$25,367,344	\$27,630,982	\$26,831,507	0.9%	-2.9%
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	\$5,536,334	\$4,742,328	\$5,919,055	\$7,787,834	\$9,910,700	17.3%	27.3%
Residential Educational Facilities	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	\$9,674,065	\$9,913,715	\$10,433,639	\$9,344,675	\$10,814,084	3.3%	15.7%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	\$6,861,907	\$5,774,919	\$6,202,233	\$14,172,257	\$7,720,944	18.6%	-45.5%
Total	\$48,362,284	\$45,458,947	\$48,695,167	\$59,475,243	\$56,581,033	4.6%	-4.9%


Table 84

DJS Addendum Subcategory Cost Comparisons Per Bed-Day




DJS Family Home Settings Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Foster Care	\$34	\$45	\$38	\$41	\$44	8.1%	6.6%
Treatment Foster Care	\$317	\$188	\$310	\$198	\$219	-0.3%	10.6%
Total	\$274	\$177	\$271	\$184	\$206	-0.7%	11.6%

Table 85



DJS Community-Based Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	\$571	\$195	\$491	\$223	\$210	6.4%	-5.9%
Residential Child Care Programs	\$174	\$227	\$210	\$234	\$254	10.7%	8.2%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total	\$233	\$233	\$225	\$233	\$251	1.9%	7.7%

Table 86



DJS Non-Community-Based Per Bed-Day Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	\$133	\$423	\$205	\$238	\$203	41.7%	-14.7%
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	\$335	\$334	\$380	\$347	\$384	3.9%	10.7%
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	\$470	\$593	\$471	\$470	\$347	-5.2%	-26.2%
Residential Educational Facilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	\$188	\$535	\$161	\$562	\$195	74.4%	-65.4%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	\$123	\$201	\$118	\$216	\$190	23.1%	-12.1%
Total	\$244	\$349	\$243	\$329	\$281	8.5%	-14.5%

Table 87

DJS Addendum

Subcategory OOS Cost Comparisons

Total Costs




Table 88

Per Bed-Day




Table 89

Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) Summary

DDA considers the family to be the primary support for a child diagnosed with intellectual and developmental disabilities. Families and caregivers are constants in the lives of children and should be seen as an integral part of the child's life. DDA recognizes that families and caregivers have unique and varied needs, including supports from both formal and informal networks to assist them in providing their children with the chance to reach their full potential as they grow.

DDA continues to work to provide services to children within their family home. DDA also recognizes that there are times when the family home is not a viable solution. In that instance, DDA is focused on ensuring that children needing out-of-home placement have access to all entitlement services prior to accessing DDA funds for services. In FY2013, DDA provided out-of-home services to a total of 150 children, a decrease of 13.3% from FY2012.


DDA provides a coordinated service delivery system so that individuals diagnosed with intellectual and developmental disabilities receive appropriate services oriented toward the goal of integration into the community. DDA services are provided through a combination of State residential centers and a wide array of community-based services delivered primarily through a network of licensed providers.

DDA Residential Child Care Program (RCCP) services are provided by DDA-licensed providers. DDA services indicated in Table 90 as Residential Child Care Programs include Group Homes and Alternative Living Units (ALUs). Group Homes are residences owned, leased, or operated by a DDA licensee that provide specialized residential services to at least four but not more than eight individuals diagnosed with intellectual and developmental disabilities. ALUs are residences owned or leased by DDA licensees that provide specialized residential services to no more than three individuals diagnosed with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

Community Supported Living Arrangements (CSLA) provide individuals with the support necessary to live in their own homes, apartments, family homes, or rental units. CSLA provide a full range of community-based support to provide the needed level of supervision and other interventions necessary, while allowing individuals to remain with members of their family and/or in their local area near friends and known supports.


As indicated in Table 90, the number of children in out-of-home CSLA services dropped slightly (3.6%) in FY2013 while the number of children receiving RCCP services doubled. While this may be due to the complexity of service needs for children who are receiving out-of-home services, CSLA services are being provided for the majority of children that DDA serves.

DDA is committed to individualized, flexible, family-centered, and family-directed services. To accomplish this, DDA attempts to place children in their home jurisdiction. DDA collaborates with other agencies responsible for the welfare of children. DDA has representatives that serve on multiple interagency and intra-agency boards, coordinating councils, committees, and task forces at the State and local levels. These representatives help to ensure that services are coordinated and share resources for children. In addition, arrangements for co-funding of interagency service plans are made for children who qualify for services through multiple agencies in order to maximize available resources.



DDA Placement Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	6	12	9	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Child Care Programs	7	0	2	11	22	NA	100.0%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	135	117	96	84	81	-11.8%	-3.6%
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	148	129	107	95	103	-8.2%	8.4%

Table 90



DDA Total Served Trends							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	1	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Community-Based	192	169	152	173	150	-5.4%	-13.3%
Total	193	169	152	173	150	-5.5%	-13.3%

Table 91

As indicated in Table 92, the total number of placements at the start of each fiscal year has dropped 25% over the past three years. There was an increase of 45.5% in new placements over the past three fiscal years, putting the total served in FY2013 at 150 children, 13.3% lower than FY2012.

Of the 103 children counted in placement on January 31, 2013, all but one was placed in his home jurisdiction. Jurisdictions with larger percentages of children in out-of-home placements are consistent with those with larger populations.


While the cost per bed-day for RCCP services dropped slightly in the past year, it is still more than three times more costly than providing services through CSLA. In the past five years, there has been a slight increase in the cost of CSLA services but it still remains the more economic model of service provision.

DDA Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	136	33	169	29	140
2011	116	36	152	28	124
2012	102	71	173	34	139
2013	102	48	150	28	122
Three-Year Change	-25.0%	45.5%	-11.2%	-3.4%	-12.9%
Average Yearly Change	-12.9%	67.8%	5.9%	13.1%	4.3%
Recent Year Change	0.0%	-32.4%	-13.3%	-17.6%	-12.2%

Table 92


DDA Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013																														
Home Jurisdiction of Children			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																											
			# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	2	1.9%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	3	2.9%	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	15	14.6%	0	0	14	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baltimore City	6	5.8%	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Calvert	2	1.9%	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	8	7.8%	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	2	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	1	1.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	1	1.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	5	4.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	26	25.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Prince George's	18	17.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	1	1.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	2	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	4	3.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	7	6.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	103	100.0%	2	2	14	6	2	0	9	0	2	0	1	0	1	6	0	26	19	0	1	2	0	3	7	0	0	0	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	66.7%	93.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			1.9%	1.9%	13.6%	5.8%	1.9%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	5.8%	0.0%	25.2%	18.4%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%	2.9%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Table 93



DDA Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Child Care Program	\$2,464,420	\$2,027,781	\$1,848,389	\$3,029,693	\$2,908,846	8.3%	-4.0%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	\$3,531,772	\$3,307,332	\$2,823,561	\$2,843,317	\$3,259,484	-1.4%	14.6%
Total	\$5,996,192	\$5,335,113	\$4,671,950	\$5,873,011	\$6,168,330	1.8%	5.0%

Table 94



DDA Costs Per Bed-Day							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Child Care Program	\$365	\$319	\$324	\$353	\$321	-2.8%	-9.2%
Community Supported Living Arrangement (CSLA)	\$68	\$73	\$72	\$87	\$95	8.9%	9.4%
Total	\$102	\$103	\$105	\$142	\$142	9.6%	0.0%

Table 95

Recommendations

The greatest challenge to the DDA system continues to be the identification and support of children between the ages of 18 and 21 who are aging-out of other support systems and agencies within the State. It is critical to identify these children early to allow for thorough, effective transition planning. Incompatible data systems between administrations and confidentiality issues create barriers to the process. Recent efforts to improve communication and collaboration through inter-agency and intra-agency boards, coordinating councils, committees, and task forces at State and local levels have been helpful in identifying some of these children earlier to allow for smoother transition to adult services. DDA and DHR currently


have a comprehensive Memorandum of Understanding that has enabled DDA to improve the planning process for youth transitioning out of the DHR system.

DDA will continue to work with other administrations and community resources to allow children to remain in their homes. DDA works in conjunction with other State and local agencies to assess the community's capacity to meet the ongoing needs of children with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families. Ongoing needs may include medical or behavioral services, specialized childcare, respite, as well as supports for siblings and caregivers.

DDA will continue to explore needs and the development of resources that will allow families to support their children with disabilities in their homes.

DDA Addendum Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons


Age



DDA Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	13	9	6	6	10	0.6%	66.7%
12 through 17	69	53	43	37	30	-18.7%	-18.9%
18 and over	65	66	58	52	63	0.1%	21.2%
Total	148	129	107	95	103	-8.2%	8.4%

Table 96


Gender



DDA Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	93	82	65	62	67	-7.3%	8.1%
Female	55	47	42	33	36	-9.4%	9.1%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	148	129	107	95	103	-8.2%	8.4%

Table 97


Race



DDA Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	1	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	1	1	1	2	25.0%	100.0%
Black or African American	32	33	26	21	31	2.6%	47.6%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	46	32	26	28	35	-4.1%	25.0%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	1	2	NA	100.0%
Other	50	45	36	25	24	-16.1%	-4.0%
Unknown	18	18	18	19	9	-11.8%	-52.6%
Total	148	129	107	95	103	-8.2%	8.4%

Table 98


DDA Addendum
Subcategory OOS One-Day Census Totals



DDA Out-of-State Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Independent Living Programs	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Child Care Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Community Supported Living Arrangement	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	1	1	0	0	0	NA	NA

Table 99


Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration (ADAA) Summary



ADAA Placement Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	181	208	204	180	175	-0.4%	-2.8%
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	181	208	204	180	175	-0.4%	-2.8%

Table 100

In FY2013, three facilities which appeared on last year's report were closed – one provided Level III.1 services and the other two were III.3 providers. The largest decline in the one-day placement count was in Level III.3 which had a decline of 34.8% from the count on the same day in FY2012.



ADAA Total Served Trends							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Non-Community-Based	2,275	2,577	2,484	2,370	2,188	-0.7%	-7.7%
Total	2,275	2,577	2,484	2,370	2,188	-0.7%	-7.7%

Table 101

ADAA Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	199	2,378	2,577	2,376	201
2011	201	2,283	2,484	2,247	237
2012	187	2,183	2,370	2,171	199
2013	180	2,008	2,188	2,012	176
Three-Year Change	-9.5%	-15.6%	-15.1%	-15.3%	-12.4%
Average Yearly Change	-4.3%	-4.3%	-4.3%	-4.1%	-4.7%
Recent Year Change	-3.7%	-8.0%	-7.7%	-7.3%	-11.6%

Table 102

In FY2013, the most significant percentage declines were in Levels III.1 and III.5 with declines of 24% and 27%, respectively. The largest numeric decline was in Level III.7 which had more than 140 fewer children/youth entering in FY2013 than in FY2012.


In FY2013, a much smaller percentage of residential children/youth under age 21 left treatment early or were removed from residential treatment for disciplinary reasons. In FY2012 approximately 30% children/youth under age 21 left residential treatment for one of these two reasons, while in FY2013 it was only 19%.

ADAA Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	1	0.6%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	19	10.9%	2	9	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	24	13.7%	4	1	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	31	17.7%	5	3	9	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	1	0.6%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	6	3.4%	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	6	3.4%	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	6	3.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	4	2.3%	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Frederick	7	4.0%	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	1	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	9	5.1%	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	6	3.4%	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	14	8.0%	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	6	3.4%	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	4	2.3%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	1	0.6%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	4	2.3%	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	2	1.1%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	7	4.0%	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	7	4.0%	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	2	1.1%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	7	4.0%	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	175	100.0%	42	16	56	16	0	0	2	1	2	8	3	0	0	1	1	9	2	0	0	2	0	13	0	1	0	0
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	47.4%	66.7%	41.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	35.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			24.0%	9.1%	32.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%	1.1%	4.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	5.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%


Table 103

Residential facilities are not evenly distributed throughout the State which may necessitate treatment outside the child's home jurisdiction. State-assisted facilities that provide III.3, III.5 and III.7 levels of care are located in less than half of the jurisdictions which requires children needing those levels of care to be placed outside of their home jurisdiction.



ADA A Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	\$5,824,947	\$5,479,180	\$5,412,365	\$4,739,245	\$3,676,839	-10.5%	-22.4%
Total	\$5,824,947	\$5,479,180	\$5,412,365	\$4,739,245	\$3,676,839	-10.5%	-22.4%

Table 104



ADA A Costs Per Bed-Day							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	\$106	\$85	\$87	\$71	\$59	-13.4%	-18.0%
Total	\$106	\$85	\$87	\$71	\$59	-13.4%	-18.0%


Table 105

The largest decrease in FY residential spending was for Level III.7 which is the most expensive level of care, requiring medical supervision. Both the total expenditure and the number of bed-days declined significantly for children under age 21 in FY2013. With the decline in level III.7 treatment, the bed-day cost was also reduced.

ADAA Addendum

Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Age




ADAA Non-Community-Based Age Trends

Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
12 through 17	87	83	85	89	82	-1.3%	-7.9%
18 and over	94	125	119	91	93	1.7%	2.2%
Total	181	208	204	180	175	-0.4%	-2.8%

Table 106

Gender




ADAA Non-Community-Based Gender Trends

Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	144	154	153	129	124	-3.3%	-3.9%
Female	37	54	51	51	51	10.1%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	181	208	204	180	175	-0.4%	-2.8%

Table 107

Race




ADAA Non-Community-Based Race Trends

Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	1	2	1	1	2	37.5%	100.0%
Black or African American	65	53	65	45	49	-4.4%	8.9%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	111	146	133	127	116	2.4%	-8.7%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	1	1	1	NA	0.0%
Other	4	6	4	6	7	20.8%	16.7%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	181	208	204	180	175	-0.4%	-2.8%

Table 108

Mental Hygiene Administration (MHA) Summary



MHA Placement Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Treatment Centers	534	482	440	371	393	-7.1%	5.9%
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	534	482	440	371	393	-7.1%	5.9%

Table 109

Residential Treatment Center is the only placement subcategory utilized by MHA. Since it is a medical treatment service, it is the only non-community-based placement which is funded by Medical Assistance. All MHA placements are funded through Maryland Medical Assistance, which is a State and federal Medicaid dollar match. A residential treatment center may be referred to as an “RTC” or as a “psychiatric residential treatment facility” (PRTF), using federal government nomenclature. Medical Assistance is often referred to simply as “MA,” or as “Medicaid,” again using federal government nomenclature. RTCs provide behavioral health treatment to youth with high levels of clinical need requiring intensive residential medical services which cannot be met in typical community placements.


The yearly trend of one-day counts for the RTC category shows decreases of about 7% over each of the last four years. This is the result of a community-based alternative to RTC placement approved through the federal Medicaid process known as a Section 1915(c) Home and Community-Based Services Waiver (RTC Waiver).

The federal government has specifically encouraged development of alternatives to the standard RTC placement in order to promote treatment in the community. The federal government approved Maryland’s RTC Waiver proposal in FY2009 and youth were enrolled beginning in FY2010. The number of youth enrolled in the RTC Waiver was 60 in FY2010, 166 in FY2011, and 210 in FY2012.

All children who required an RTC level of care were eligible to be considered for RTC Waiver services in the community, provided that they could be safely served in the community with an appropriate plan of care with necessary supports. This federal demonstration waiver was not reauthorized by Congress after federal FY2012 and the RTC Waiver closed to new referrals effective October 1, 2012.

DHMH is now planning to submit a 1915(i) Federal Medicaid State plan amendment to permanently serve a similar population of children/youth with intensive community-based services. The projected start date for this program with an enhanced service array is FY2015, pending submission of the required federal application and its review as well as the necessary promulgation of regulations and legislative changes as may be required.

Also contributing to the decrease in the number of children in RTCs is the decline in the length of stay in the RTC over the past four years. This has been due in large part to an MHA effort to have children move from the RTCs to community treatment as soon as their clinical needs can safely be met at a lower level of care. MHA has accomplished this through both a process of monitoring their progress in the RTC and providing technical assistance in discharge planning.



MHA Total Served Trends							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Community-Based	1,127	1,566	924	1,046	863	-1.6%	-17.5%
Hospitalization	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	1,127	1,566	924	1,046	863	-1.6%	-17.5%

Table 110

The MHA Total Served number of youth in RTCs has declined over the past three years. As with the one-day counts above, this is the result of the State's RTC Waiver. In the past three years, larger numbers of Maryland youth have been served in the community.

MHA Total Served numbers for both FY2012 and FY2013 in Table 110 and Table 111 are based on treatment episodes rather than unduplicated numbers of individuals and likely over-represent the annual numbers of individuals served because a few individuals may have had multiple RTC admissions and

discharges during a fiscal year. Although it is difficult to estimate the precise impact of multiple discharges and readmissions, this may account for a small percentage of these numbers.

MHA Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	517	1,049	1,566	648	918
2011	435	489	924	430	494
2012	441	605	1,046	650	396
2013	407	456	863	496	367
Three-Year Change	-21.3%	-56.5%	-44.9%	-23.5%	-60.0%
Average Yearly Change	-4.4%	-2.0%	-4.9%	22.9%	-28.6%
Recent Year Change	-7.7%	-24.6%	-17.5%	-23.7%	-7.3%

Table 111

Table 3 represents the flow of admissions and discharges from the in-State and out-of-State RTCs over the course of the fiscal year. It is based on claims for reimbursement for the RTC level of care. When claims are received can slightly affect the numbers of placements at the ending and start of the fiscal year so they are not exactly equal.

The FY2010 figures in Table 111 for population flow are based on an obsolete model for calculating population flow. The FY2010 data are not comparable to the three subsequent fiscal years (FY2011, FY2012, and FY2013) and they will not be discussed further. Data from FY2011 through FY2013 show the same pattern of change as the one-day census data: moderate decreases over each of the last three years. As noted earlier, this results from the State's RTC Waiver as well as progress in MHA's effort to reduce the RTC lengths of stay.

MHA Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013																													
			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
Allegany	4	1.0%	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	24	6.1%	0	3	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baltimore	86	21.9%	0	0	8	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baltimore City	91	23.2%	0	0	32	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Calvert	3	0.8%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	1	0.3%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	7	1.8%	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	7	1.8%	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	10	2.5%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	23	5.9%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Garrett	1	0.3%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	15	3.8%	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	11	2.8%	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	2	0.5%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	57	14.5%	0	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Prince George's	20	5.1%	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Queen Anne's	2	0.5%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	3	0.8%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	4	1.0%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Talbot	2	0.5%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	6	1.5%	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	6	1.5%	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	2	0.5%	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	6	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	
Grand Total	393	100.0%	0	4	51	231	0	0	0	0	0	13	40	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	12.5%	9.3%	60.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	73.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			0.0%	1.0%	13.0%	58.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	

Table 112


Although placement within (or near) a youth's jurisdiction is one factor considered in placing a child in an RTC, the primary determinant is the youth's treatment needs, since some types of treatment services are available in some RTCs and not in others (programming, ages and genders served are not identical across facilities), and whether or not a particular program has a vacancy at the time of referral or anticipates one within a reasonable time frame.

Furthermore, there are 10 RTCs located in five Maryland jurisdictions so these are not uniformly distributed throughout the State. Youth from most jurisdictions will necessarily be placed outside their jurisdiction. The in-State residential treatment centers are located in Baltimore County (4), Baltimore City (2), Montgomery County (2), Dorchester County (1), and Frederick County (1). Finally, each RTC determines which youth will be admitted, considering programming and vacancy constraints upon admissions.

Most placements involve adolescents aged 12 to 17 because this is the age when the most serious behavior problems requiring residential treatment emerge (Table 116). Percentage changes have been greater for the 12 to 17 age group because they represent the majority of individuals and the total numbers have declined over time. Although males, who represent the majority of those in RTCs, have a similar percentage decrease over time compared with females, a slightly higher proportion of the females have been enrolled in the RTC Waiver than males, resulting in slightly higher percentage changes (Table 117). Black or African American and White are the majority of youth in RTCs. As shown in Table 118, Black or African American youth are historically over-represented in these placements just as these youth are over-represented in juvenile justice and child welfare placements (*i.e.*, "disproportionate minority contact").

Between FY2009 and FY2010, there was a concerted effort between MHA and the Core Service Agencies (CSAs) throughout the State to reduce the number of Maryland youth served in out-of-State RTCs (Table 118). This number declined significantly by FY2010 largely through discharges from RTC care altogether and, to a lesser extent, transfers to in-State RTC care. The much smaller numbers of out-of-State placements from FY2010 through FY2013 represent the very few cases with special treatment needs which were not able to be met by in-State RTC providers. These were mostly individuals with histories of fire-setting or with complex treatment needs that in-State providers declined to address or youth placed out-of-State by their parents.


The primary reason for the overall decrease in MHA out-of-State non-community-based total costs over the past four fiscal years is the significant decrease in the number of youth placed in out-of-State RTCs (Table 119). The cost of out-of-State RTC can be significantly higher than in-State care because of higher costs of those RTCs' special programming and these costs also increased in FY2013. These figures represent the Medical Assistance costs for all youth placed by MHA in out-of-State RTCs divided by the number of bed-days (the total number of days in residential treatment for all youth placed in residential treatment centers). These bed-day costs can vary due to utilization of RTCs whose costs which may be higher or lower than average due to different programming. Out-of-State RTC costs overall can vary year-to-year and have increased slightly over the past four years.



MHA Non-Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Treatment Centers	\$94,033,805	\$91,629,633	\$72,649,911	\$71,180,664	\$66,348,547	-8.0%	-6.8%
Total	\$94,033,805	\$91,629,633	\$72,649,911	\$71,180,664	\$66,348,547	-8.0%	-6.8%

Table 113

All MHA non-community-based placements are in RTCs. The figures in this chart represent the total Medical Assistance costs for all RTC placements. These costs vary by the number of youth who are placed, by the specific placements (since the programs receive different reimbursement), and these program costs themselves also vary year to year. Just as the number of all youth in RTCs has significantly decreased over the past three fiscal years, the cost for the treatment of youth in the RTCs has also decreased over the past four fiscal years.



MHA Non-Community-Based Cost Per Bed-Day							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Treatment Centers	\$377	\$432	\$453	\$460	\$458	5.1%	-0.5%
Total	\$377	\$432	\$453	\$460	\$458	5.1%	-0.5%

Table 114

These figures represent the Medical Assistance costs for all youth placed by MHA in RTCs divided by the number of bed-days (the total number of days in residential treatment for all youth placed in RTCs). These bed-day costs can vary due to utilization of RTCs whose costs which may be higher or lower than average due to different programming. RTC costs overall can vary year to year and have increased slightly over the past three years.


Recommendations

The current capacity of RTCs in Maryland appears adequate to meet the needs of Maryland youth for this level of care for the foreseeable future, based on vacancy rates for the in-State RTCs and plans to eventually expand the number of youth served in the community via a 1915(i) Medicaid State plan amendment providing intensive wraparound services. It would seem desirable to have the Maryland RTCs offer more options for specialized treatment such as treatment for fire-setting and sexually offensive behavior, especially for youth with low levels of intellectual functioning. At this time, however, it appears unlikely that there would be sufficient in-State referrals to make enough financial sense for an in-State RTC to develop such programming.

MHA efforts to minimize the number of Maryland youth in out-of-State placements have been successful and will continue. It appears likely that for a very small number of Maryland youth with needs for specialized treatment or who are in especially complicated circumstances, an out-of-State placement will continue to be necessary.

MHA Addendum Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons


Age



MHA Non-Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	1	1	NA	0.0%
6 through 11	53	32	50	49	88	23.6%	79.6%
12 through 17	443	406	351	285	301	-8.8%	5.6%
18 and over	38	44	39	36	3	-23.7%	-91.7%
Total	534	482	440	371	393	-7.1%	5.9%

Table 115


Race



MHA Non-Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	0	3	3	1	NA	-66.7%
Asian	2	2	2	3	1	-4.2%	-66.7%
Black or African American	287	263	225	177	208	-6.7%	17.5%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	221	193	175	149	137	-11.2%	-8.1%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	23	24	19	24	24	2.5%	0.0%
Unknown	1	0	16	15	22	NA	46.7%
Total	534	482	440	371	393	-7.1%	5.9%

Table 117


Gender



MHA Non-Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	331	276	272	232	243	-7.0%	4.7%
Female	203	205	168	139	150	-6.6%	7.9%
Unknown	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	534	482	440	371	393	-7.1%	5.9%

Table 116

MHA Addendum
Subcategory OOS One-Day Census Totals




MHA Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Treatment Centers	23	8	8	6	8	-14.2%	33.3%
Total	23	8	8	6	8	-14.2%	33.3%

Table 118

MHA Addendum Subcategory OOS Cost Comparisons


Total Costs



MHA Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Treatment Centers	\$2,675,491	\$1,053,686	\$994,563	\$858,218	\$1,465,800	-2.3%	70.8%
Total	\$2,675,491	\$1,053,686	\$994,563	\$858,218	\$1,465,800	-2.3%	70.8%

Table 119

Cost Per Bed-Day



MHA Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Cost Per Bed-Day							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Treatment Centers	\$282	\$290	\$286	\$315	\$319	3.3%	1.4%
Total	\$282	\$290	\$286	\$315	\$319	3.3%	1.4%


Table 120

Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) Summary

Local School Systems (LSSs) are required to provide a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) for all students who require special education and related services. Special education and related services for children in residential placements are determined through the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team process. The IEP team, including the parent, determines the services required, the type of program, and identifies the location for the delivery of services. The IEP team is charged with ensuring that the child is demonstrating educational progress in the approved placement and the team may determine at any time that a change in placement is necessary to implement the IEP and to provide a FAPE.


A student is only placed by a LSS when the team determines that the child requires a residential educational facility. Maryland Residential Treatment Centers are approved for educational purposes as residential educational facilities. The number of students requiring residential settings is approximately .005% of the total population of students with disabilities. When reviewing data for Students with Disabilities Trend in High Growth Disabilities ages 3-21 (Maryland Special Education Census Data, October 26, 2012), a decrease is noted in the number of students identified with emotional disabilities and an increase in the number of students identified with Autism. The shift in services and types of programs utilized at the residential level is consistent with the shift in needs for the specific population groups. The LSSs are experiencing a decline in the number of children requiring residential services through the IEP team process.

There has been an increase of services at the community level under targeted initiatives such as the Autism Waiver and specific mental health partnerships. As students with severe Autism and severe emotional disabilities enter their teenage and young adult years, providing educational services for students with severe needs may become increasingly challenging as the child gets older and community-based services have been exhausted. Older students with residential needs frequently remain in residential schools until they transition to adult services. The LSSs are required to provide special education and related services through the school year in which the child turns age 21.



MSDE Placement Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Residential Educational Facilities	51	58	44	58	53	3.2%	-8.6%
Residential Treatment Centers	47	37	22	0	0	NA	NA
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs (ASAM)	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Living Arrangement - Non-Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	98	95	66	58	53	-13.6%	-8.6%

Table 121



MSDE Total Served Trends							
Category	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Family Home	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Community-Based	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Non-Community- Based	112	96	69	70	58	-14.5%	-17.1%
Hospitalization	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	112	96	69	70	58	-14.5%	-17.1%


Table 122

MSDE Population Flow					
State Fiscal Year	Placements at Start of FY	Starts in FY (New Placements)	Total Served	Ends in FY (Placement Exits)	Placements at End of FY
2010	68	28	96	26	70
2011	49	20	69	11	58
2012	34	36	70	9	61
2013	33	25	58	5	53
Three-Year Change	-51.5%	-10.7%	-39.6%	-80.8%	-24.3%
Average Yearly Change	-29.7%	43.8%	-8.4%	-31.4%	-2.3%
Recent Year Change	-2.9%	-30.6%	-17.1%	-44.4%	-13.1%

Table 123

MSDE Placement by Jurisdiction on 1/31/2013																													
		Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																											
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children Statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Baltimore	5	9.4%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Baltimore City	6	11.3%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	7	13.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	16	30.2%	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Prince George's	8	15.1%	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	53	100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children Statewide in all			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 124



MSDE Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Educational Facilities	\$15,161,831	\$13,918,875	\$12,672,875	\$11,690,683	\$11,607,471	-6.4%	-0.7%
Total	\$15,161,831	\$13,918,875	\$12,672,875	\$11,690,683	\$11,607,471	-6.4%	-0.7%

Table 125

Recommendations

MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) has worked directly with Maryland private day and residential education facilities to build in-State capacity for students requiring intensive services. For the school year 2013-2014, an established Maryland provider was recently approved to accept students with Autism for residential placements under the Autism Waiver. This provider offers residential programs for 313 days and 365 days a year.


Additionally, MSDE, DSE/EIS secured funding to increase the number of children served under the Autism Waiver. This increase in funding capacity will increase the number of children with Autism whose needs are supported in their homes and communities. MSDE, DSE/EIS recommends that direct work with Maryland providers to meet the increasing needs of this population continues.

MSDE supports cross-agency collaboration to ensure the implementation of educational programs for all students in out-of-home placements and to facilitate the development of services required to meet the needs of Maryland youth.


MSDE Addendum

Subcategory Totals Demographic Comparisons

Age



Race



MSDE Non-Community-Based Age Trends

Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	3	4	4	2	2	-4.2%	0.0%
12 through 17	36	33	23	28	24	-7.8%	-14.3%
18 and over	59	58	39	28	27	-16.6%	-3.6%
Total	98	95	66	58	53	-13.6%	-8.6%


Table 126

MSDE Non-Community-Based Race Trends

Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	3	3	2	5	8	44.2%	60.0%
Black or African American	25	27	15	13	14	-10.5%	7.7%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	2	2	1	NA	-50.0%
White	67	61	47	37	29	-18.7%	-21.6%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	1	1	NA	0.0%
Other	3	4	0	0	0	NA	NA
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	98	95	66	58	53	-13.6%	-8.6%

Table 128

Gender



MSDE Non-Community-Based Gender Trends


Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	77	72	48	41	38	-15.4%	-7.3%
Female	21	23	18	17	15	-7.4%	-11.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	98	95	66	58	53	-13.6%	-8.6%

Table 127

MSDE Addendum

Subcategory OOS One-Day Census Totals and Demographic Comparisons


Placement Trends



MSDE Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Trends							
Subcategory	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Educational Facilities	0	0	0	20	15	NA	-25.0%
Residential Treatment Centers	47	37	22	0	0	NA	NA
Total	47	37	22	20	15	-24.0%	-25.0%

Table 129


Age



MSDE Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Age Trends							
Age	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
0 through 5	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
6 through 11	2	1	1	1	1	-12.5%	0.0%
12 through 17	19	9	7	6	7	-18.1%	16.7%
18 and over	26	27	14	13	7	-24.4%	-46.2%
Total	47	37	22	20	15	-24.0%	-25.0%

Table 130


Gender



MSDE Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Gender Trends							
Gender	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Male	37	31	15	12	8	-30.3%	-33.3%
Female	10	6	7	8	7	-5.4%	-12.5%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	47	37	22	20	15	-24.0%	-25.0%

Table 131


Race



MSDE Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Race Trends							
Race	1/31/2009	1/31/2010	1/31/2011	1/31/2012	1/31/2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
American Indian / Alaskan	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Black or African American	12	10	8	7	6	-15.9%	-14.3%
Native Hawaiian / Pacific	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
White	34	25	14	13	9	-27.1%	-30.8%
Bi-Racial / Multiple Race	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Other	1	2	0	0	0	NA	NA
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
Total	47	37	22	20	15	-24.0%	-25.0%

Table 132

MSDE Addendum
Subcategory OOS Cost Comparisons
Total Costs



MSDE Out-of-State Non-Community-Based Total Costs							
Subcategory	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	Average Change	Last Year Change
Residential Educational Facilities	\$7,989,636	\$6,186,993	\$4,933,768	\$5,122,421	\$3,919,225	-15.6%	-23.5%
Total	\$7,989,636	\$6,186,993	\$4,933,768	\$5,122,421	\$3,919,225	-15.6%	-23.5%

Table 133

Maryland School for the Blind and Maryland School for the Deaf

In accordance with § 8-303 of the Education Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, MSDE, each jurisdiction board, the Maryland School for the Deaf, and the Maryland School for the Blind shall work together to meet the educational needs of children who are deaf and blind.

The Maryland School for the Deaf

The Maryland School for the Deaf (MSD) is established under § 8-304 of the Education Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland. MSDE is required to admit free-of-charge all students who are Maryland residents and meet the established admissions criteria. Section § 8-305 requires each LSS to notify parents or guardians of each hearing-impaired child of the availability of the educational programs offered by MSD. Funding for MSD is established under Md. Ed. Art., § 8-310.3. MSD is also required to establish and operate a program of enhanced services for deaf students who have moderate to severe disabilities under Md. Ed. Art., § 8-310.1 with funding provided jointly by the State and the county. The majority of students enrolled at MSD are placed by parents or guardians rather than by a LSS. Children receiving enhanced services⁶ are placed by LSSs through the IEP team process. A small number of students, placed by the IEP team process, live on campus during the school week.

	Total Residential Served	Residential Cost	Educational Cost	Total Cost
FY2010	125	\$2,296,579	\$5,893,239	\$8,189,818
FY2011	111	\$2,253,601	\$5,031,852	\$7,285,453
FY2012	123	\$2,476,233	\$6,162,792	\$8,639,025
FY2013	125	\$2,415,309	\$5,704,625	\$8,119,934

The Maryland School for the Blind

The Maryland School for the Blind (MSB) is established to provide services for children placed by LSSs through the IEP team process. In accordance with Md. Ed. Art., § 8-307.1, each LSS in the State shall notify the parents or guardians of each blind or visually-impaired child, including children with multiple disabilities, of the availability of the educational programs and administrative policies of the schools under their jurisdiction. MSB is required to establish and operate a program of enhanced services* for students who are blind and have other disabilities. Funding for these services is provided jointly by the State and county. The budget for MSB is submitted annually by the Governor to the General Assembly. The residential program offers a continuum of service options. Students may participate in the program on an extended-day, part-time or full-time basis, and may reside in a dormitory or in a house on the campus during the school week.

	Total Residential Served	Residential Cost	Educational Cost	Total Cost
FY2010	86	\$4,760,670	\$7,628,494	\$12,389,164
FY2011	93	\$4,844,775	\$8,702,304	\$13,547,079
FY2012	89	\$4,722,467	\$8,316,387	\$13,038,854
FY2013	91	\$5,043,578	\$9,632,009	\$14,675,587

⁶ Enhanced services allow students to receive educational services in Maryland rather than out-of-State residential programs.

Family Preservation Services

Local Departments of Social Services (LDSS) have a long tradition of providing in-home services, when appropriate, to families showing moderate to serious risks of child maltreatment. Rooted in the 1980 federal child welfare law to make “reasonable efforts to prevent out-of-home placement,” Maryland has provided in-home interventions since the early 1980s. DHR provides family preservation services to children and families at risk of child maltreatment and/or out-of-home placement.

Since 1990, the Interagency Family Preservation Services (IFPS) program is available as an inter-agency approach to preserving families with children at imminent risk of out-of-home placement from all child-serving agencies. Until FY2008, IFPS was administered by the Governor’s Office for Children (GOC), after which it was integrated into DHR’s In-Home services.

Family preservation or In-Home services can be evaluated by examining families’ risk levels and the incidence rates of maltreatment and out-of-home placement. Risk is assessed by the Maryland Family Risk Assessment (MFRA), which is administered by the caseworker at the initiation of services, several times throughout services, and at case closure. Data at initiation, during services, and at case closure is discussed in this report.

Maltreatment (child abuse or neglect) is measured by the number of indicated investigation findings of child maltreatment. Out-of-home placement is measured simply by the number of children entering. Both measures are analyzed for incidents of maltreatment/out-of-home placement among children while they were receiving In-Home services, and for children who had recently received In-Home services.

DHR In-Home services are separated into two (2) categories:

1. Interagency Family Preservation Services (IFPS); and
2. Consolidated In-Home Services – including Services to Families with Children (a short-term service featuring an assessment of family needs) and all other In-Home services.

Data for the two separate categories (IFPS and Consolidated) are presented, along with data for the two programs combined (Total In-Home Services).

(Note – in last year’s report, Consolidated In-Home Services was labeled “DHR In-Home Services.”)

Service Counts for DHR In-Home Services

A review of the last four years’ information on overall served and newly-served indicates that there has been a slight decrease in the overall number of families and children served in In-Home programs from FY2012 to FY2013. Table 134 below contains four years of data for Total In-Home services, Consolidated In-Home services, and IFPS.

Families and Children Served and Newly Served						
Total In-Home						
	All Cases Served during FY			New Cases during FY		
	Cases	Children	Child/Case	Cases	Children	Child/Case
FY2010	7,899	17,265	2.2	5,534	11,927	2.2
FY2011	7,556	16,554	2.2	5,271	11,439	2.2
FY2012	8,743	18,806	2.2	6,512	13,799	2.1
FY2013	8,735	18,791	2.2	6,240	13,311	2.1
Consolidated In-Home Services						
	All Cases Served during FY			New Cases during FY		
	Cases	Children	Child/Case	Cases	Children	Child/Case
FY2010	6,864	14,839	2.2	4,697	9,965	2.1
FY2011	6,591	14,279	2.2	4,496	9,618	2.1
FY2012	7,841	16,659	2.1	5,803	12,118	2.1
FY2013	7,765	16,465	2.1	5,432	11,400	2.1
Interagency Family Preservation Services						
	All Cases Served during FY			New Cases during FY		
	Cases	Children	Child/Case	Cases	Children	Child/Case
FY2010	1,035	2,426	2.3	837	1,962	2.3
FY2011	965	2,275	2.4	775	1,821	2.3
FY2012	902	2,147	2.4	709	1,681	2.4
FY2013	970	2,326	2.4	808	1,911	2.4

Table 134

Total In-Home served and newly-served families increased significantly from FY2011 to FY2012 (16% and 24%, respectively). This was the first substantial increase among In-Home services in several years, and allayed concerns about the downward trends in In-Home services in prior years during a time period in which DHR out-of-home placements had been decreasing significantly as well. Among some stakeholders, there had been the belief that if DHR out-of-home care placements were decreasing, then In-Home services should increase; this argument ignored the increasing impact of DHR's Family-Centered Practice model, which emphasized child and family involvement in case planning and decisions, and utilizes natural and community resources to meet families' needs, which often negates the need for DHR/LDSS intervention.

In FY2013, there was a 4% decrease in new cases, and an overall less than 1% decrease in total families served for Total In-Home services. Consolidated In-Home services saw similar decreases, while IFPS saw increases of 14% new cases, and 7% served cases in FY2013.

Analysis of Indicated Findings of Child Maltreatment and Non-Placement Rates

This analysis focuses mainly on the question, “Are children better off?” by measuring the absence of the occurrence of indicated findings of maltreatment, and the absence of placement in DHR out-of-home care.

The goal of In-Home services is to support families in caring for their children, and to remove risk of maltreatment, not the children, from their homes. Families generally want to stay together even when challenges exist, and In-Home staff members strive to assist families in reaching that goal. Despite these efforts (by both families and DHR), there are instances of child maltreatment or the need for a child to be removed from the home while in (or after) In-Home services.

An indicated finding of child maltreatment refers to a decision made by a DHR Child Protective Services (CPS) investigator, upon completion of an investigation, that there is sufficient evidence, which has not been refuted, of child maltreatment. (There are two other CPS findings, not discussed here, including an “unsubstantiated” finding, meaning that there is not sufficient evidence to support the contention that maltreatment took place, or a “ruled out” finding, meaning that child protective services determined that maltreatment did not take place.)

Out-of-home placements begin with a removal from the home of a child, which occurs when their safety cannot be assured in their home. The date of removal marks the beginning of the out-of-home placement episode.⁷

In this analysis, only DHR out-of-home placements are discussed - while Maryland agencies place or fund the placement of children, this section discusses only DHR out-of-home placement among the children who have participated in DHR’s In-Home services, as these placements are generally due only to child maltreatment (there are a small number of placements due to children’s severe medical/mental health/developmental needs, through Voluntary Placement Agreements; these placement are included in DHR’s out-of-home placement data).

Two measures are used to analyze the effectiveness of In-Home services in preventing child maltreatment and out-of-home placements:

- Did a CPS investigation result in an indicated finding for children receiving In-Home services?
- Did a DHR out-of-home placement occur for children receiving In-Home services?

For each of these indicators, data is analyzed for the time period during which a child received services, and then for the one-year time period after the child received services.

⁷ Not all children found to be the victim of an indicated maltreatment finding are removed, nor have all removed children been the victim in an indicated maltreatment finding. Removal is based on safety issues alone; if an alleged maltreater is no longer in the home and/or an appropriate safety plan is in place, removal may not be necessary. Additionally, safety is assessed continuously, and removal decisions are made based on the current situation while findings to investigations generally take up to two months to finalize. Last, safety issues may require removal regardless of an investigation finding.

Measure	Timeframes	
Did a CPS investigation resulting in an <u>indicated finding</u> for children receiving services?	<u>During Services –</u> For each fiscal year listed, the children newly-served in In-Home cases during that fiscal year are considered, and the observation time period for each child is the start of In-Home services to the first of either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the In-Home service close date; or • 12 months following the start date of In-Home services. 	<u>Within 1 Year of Case Close –</u> For each fiscal year listed, the children considered are those who were newly-served during the fiscal year and whose In-Home cases closed within 12 months of the start date of In-Home Services. In other words, these are the same children as the “During Services” children whose cases closed during the 12-month observation period. The observation time period for each child is the 12-month period beginning on the close date of In-Home services and ending 12 months later.
Did a DHR <u>out-of-home placement</u> occur for children receiving service?		

Table 135 displays the counts of cases (families) and children newly-served each fiscal year, along with the counts and proportions of newly-served families whose cases closed within one year. It is evident that the majority of cases close within a year of starting. The child population associated with these cases were observed a year after case closing to determine whether a CPS Indicated Investigation or DHR out-of-home placement occurred.

For the “During Services” observation period, it is necessary for a year to elapse after the reported fiscal year ends. For the “Within 1 Year of Case Closure” observation period, it is necessary for two years to elapse after the reported fiscal year ends. Therefore, data for events occurring within 1 year of case closure are available for children newly served in FY2011, and data for events occurring during services is available for children who entered In-Home services in FY2012.

Using this construct, Table 135 shows the number children who began In-Home services in FY2008-FY2013, and those who started In-Home services in those years but also completed services within 12 months of their service start date. Although this Table includes data on cases (*i.e.*, families), subsequent data on indicated maltreatment and out-of-home placement will focus on children, not cases.

Total In-Home Cases						
Fiscal Year	Cases			Children		
	Newly-Served Cases	Newly-Served & Closed Within 1 Year	% Closed Within 1 Year	Newly-Served Children	Newly-Served & Closed Within 1 Year	% Closed Within 1 Year
FY2008	6,819	6,019	88%	14,474	12,641	87%
FY2009	6,290	5,540	88%	13,541	11,755	87%
FY2010	5,534	4,791	87%	11,927	10,257	86%
FY2011	5,261	4,565	87%	11,402	9,798	86%
FY2012	6,589	5,817	88%	13,952	12,247	88%
FY2013	6,240	Not available until FY2014		13,311	Not available until FY2014	

Table 135

Over the past six fiscal years, the percentage of cases (families) and children who complete services within one year of beginning In-Home services is between 86% and 88%.

Indicated CPS Findings and Foster Care Placement Rates								
Total In-Home Cases								
Fiscal Year	Indicated CPS Investigation				Out-of-Home Placement			
	During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close		During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
FY2008	2.1%	301	2.8%	353	3.1%	445	1.6%	206
FY2009	2.8%	384	3.2%	382	3.8%	509	2.2%	261
FY2010	3.7%	446	3.9%	398	4.5%	534	2.2%	227
FY2011	4.0%	453	3.3%	323	5.3%	608	2.5%	246
FY2012	2.6%	357	NA until FY2014		4.4%	619	NA until FY2014	

Table 136

Indicated CPS Findings and Out-of-Home Placement Rates								
Consolidated In-Home Services								
Fiscal Year	Indicated CPS Investigation				Out-of-Home Placement			
	During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close		During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
FY2010	3.9%	392	3.9%	332	4.7%	465	2.1%	182
FY2011	4.4%	419	3.4%	274	5.8%	556	2.5%	201
FY2012	2.6%	321	NA until FY2014		4.6%	561	NA until FY2014	
Interagency Family Preservation Services								
Fiscal Year	Indicated CPS Investigation				Out-of-Home Placement			
	During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close		During Services		Within 1 Year of Case Close	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
FY2010	2.8%	54	3.8%	66	3.5%	69	2.6%	45
FY2011	1.9%	34	3.0%	49	2.9%	52	2.7%	45
FY2012	2.1%	36	NA until FY2014		3.4%	58	NA until FY2014	

Table 137

Indicated CPS Investigations/Child Maltreatment

During the past five fiscal years, the percentage of children who have experienced an indicated CPS investigation that resulted in an indicated finding of child maltreatment during In-Home services ranged between 2.1% in FY2008 and 4.0% in FY2011. The percentage decreased in FY2012, to 2.6%. (Table 137). Despite these fluctuations, since FY2008, the average percentage of children not experiencing indicated maltreatment is 96.96%; for FY2013 the percentage was 97.4%.

Within one year of case closure, an average of 3.3% of children experienced an indicated finding of maltreatment (Table 136). During services and for the one-year period after services, therefore, approximately 97% of children did not experience an indicated finding of maltreatment over the past four to

five years. Although an average of 45% of families entering services in between FY2010 and FY2012 were rated as having moderate to high risk (Table 138), only 3.3% of their children experienced an indicated finding of maltreatment after receiving In-Home services.

For the past three fiscal years, there has been a lower rate of indicated maltreatment findings among children in IFPS compared to those in Consolidated In-Home services both during and within the year following case closure. The difference is greater during services, with 2.6% of children in Consolidated In-Home services receiving an indicated finding versus 2.1% receiving IFPS in FY2012 (Table 137).

Out-of-Home Placement

In FY2008, 3.1% of all children receiving In-Home services were removed and placed into out-of-home care during In-Home services; in FY2011, this percentage rose to 5.3%, but decreased in FY2012 to 4.4%. These trends correspond to the indicated maltreatment findings for children during In-Home services. Overall, an average of 95.8% of children served in In-Home services from FY2008 to FY2012 were able to remain with their families during In-Home services, and avoid out-of-home placement.

Out-of-home placement in the year following In-Home services has slowly increased from FY2008 to FY2011, from 1.6% in FY2008 to 2.5% in FY2012. Even with this increase, an average of 97.9% of children remain in their homes and avoided out-of-home placement within the first year after receiving In-Home services. Again, although 45% of families entering In-Home services between FY2010 and FY2012 were rated as moderate or high-risk upon the initiation of In-Home services (Table 138), only 2.1% of their children were removed into out-of-home care after receiving In-Home services.

For out-of-home placement, a lower percentage of children in IFPS entered out-of-home care during services than Consolidated In-Home services – in FY2013, 3.4% of children in IFPS services entered out-of-home care, compared to 4.6% in Consolidated In-Home services. After care, however, there is a slightly higher rate among children who had received IFPS than Consolidated In-Home services (2.7% versus 2.5%, respectively, FY2011 rates).

Analysis of Maryland Family Risk Assessment (MFRA) for In-Home Services

DHR is in the process of revising and implementing two new risk and service assessment instruments. The Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths - Family version (CANS-F) provides specific caregiver information and is intended to support a strengths-based case plan for in-home services. Additionally, a revised Maryland Family Risk Assessment (MFRA) has been designed based on an actuarial model, which will provide increased inter-rater reliability. It is anticipated that these instruments will be implemented in the next one to two fiscal years, depending on resource availability, as updates will be needed to DHR's child welfare information system (MD CHESSIE). Once these instruments are fully implemented, DHR will have a better set of integrated tools for its In-Home workforce to use, and will gain a well-rounded picture of a family's safety, risk, and functioning that will assist with service planning and data reporting.

Data presented here is based on the current MFRA, which offers the advantage of consistency in analyzing data from prior years, and consistency within cases. Workers are trained on the MFRA during pre-service orientation and through ongoing supervision.

DHR In-Home workers are required to complete an MFRA while the family is receiving services. An intake and closing risk assessment is required, as well as additional ratings every six months or when the family situation changes. The assessment is six pages and includes a central section wherein workers score family observations in five risk categories: (a) History of Child Maltreatment, (b) Type and Extent of Current Child Maltreatment Investigation, (c) Child Characteristics, (d) Caregiver Characteristics, and (e) Familial, Social and Economic Characteristics. A four-level risk rating of no-risk, low-risk, moderate-risk, or high-risk is assigned by assessing past incidents or the current incident leading to In-Home services. The final section of the MFRA is the Overall Rating of Risk. Workers enter their summary risk ratings for the five preceding risk categories before assigning an overall rating of risk for the family. Workers use the overall family risk rating to inform their case management decisions including case opening.

MFRA Intake Ratings

Within two weeks of starting an In-Home service case, workers are required to complete a MFRA rating for the family. Data, however, is not available for 20% of Total In-Home cases for FY2013 (this is consistent with prior years). Two reasons seem most likely for this missing data: first, the MFRA may be completed during the investigation and then shared with the In-Home services team but not made a formal part of the In-Home service record; second, workers may be completing the MFRA in a paper-version but not recording the results in MD CHESSIE. DHR is working on correcting these issues through a quality assurance system, as well as through improved supervision of case workers.

Safety, not risk, is the controlling factor in determining if children must be removed from their family of origin and placed into out-of-home care. Although safety and risk are different constructs (safety being concerned with the child's immediate condition), many cases with high risk also have enough immediate safety issues to warrant an out-of-home removal. Therefore, families with the highest risk may be more often served in out-of-home services than In-Home services. (Safety is measured in a separate instrument, the SAFE-C.)

Initial Risk based on MFRA Ratings Total In-Home Services						
		Percent				
Fiscal Year	n	None	Low	Moderate	High	Missing
FY2010	7,899	7%	29%	37%	9%	18%
FY2011	7,556	8%	27%	39%	10%	16%
FY2012	8,743	14%	27%	31%	8%	21%
FY2013	8735	17%	26%	30%	7%	20%
Consolidated In-Home Services						
		Percent				
Fiscal Year	n	None	Low	Moderate	High	Missing
FY2010	6,862	8%	30%	36%	9%	17%
FY2011	6,590	9%	28%	37%	9%	17%
FY2012	7,840	15%	28%	29%	7%	21%
FY2013	7,763	18%	26%	28%	6%	21%
Interagency Family Preservation Services						
		Percent				
Fiscal Year	n	None	Low	Moderate	High	Missing
FY2010	1,037	4%	21%	42%	13%	20%
FY2011	966	3%	20%	47%	17%	13%
FY2012	903	4%	21%	48%	12%	15%
FY2013	972	5%	23%	48%	12%	12%

Table 138

Table 138 shows initial MFRA ratings. In both Consolidated In-Home Services and IFPS cases over the past four years, the largest proportion of families has moderate risk levels. Families with low risk are the next largest group. Among Consolidated In-Home cases, those with no risk represented a higher proportion of cases than those with high risk in FY2012 and FY2013, while the reverse is true for IFPS. Overall, more than a third of all families in FY2013 (37%) had moderate or high risk at the initial MFRA evaluation.

MFRA Ratings: Comparing the Initial Risk Ratings with Subsequent and Final Risk Ratings

Data is available for comparing MFRA initial ratings with the most recent subsequent rating in new In-Home cases during the fiscal year, as well as comparing initial ratings with final risk ratings among families whose In-Home cases closed during the fiscal year. This analysis helps to answer two related questions: are families improving their risk rating while receiving In-Home services, and are families better off (*e.g.*, lowering their risk rating) by the end of In-Home services? The remaining tables illustrate the progress experienced by families receiving and completing services.

Comparing Initial and the Most Recent Risk Ratings among New In-Home Cases

One goal of In-Home services is to reduce the level of risk for families. One method for studying the changes in risk is to compare the initial risk rating for a family to the most recent risk rating documented for that family in order to observe any changes in risk during the course of services. Table 139 presents data for families whose services started in the fiscal year, and for whom MD CHESSIE contains the initial risk rating and at least one subsequent MFRA rating.

Information about all new In-Home cases is displayed in Table 139, including the proportion of cases that have incomplete MFRA data for this analysis. Incomplete data could be related either to the fact that a subsequent risk rating has not yet been recorded for a newly-served family, or due to missing data in MD CHESSE.

For FY2013 newly-served cases, more than half of initially moderate and high risk families improved/decreased their risk during services (among cases where there has been at least one subsequent MFRA rating):

- Initially High Risk Families:
 - 67% of families improved (*i.e.*, decreased) their risk
 - 32% of families remain at a high risk

- Initially Moderate Risk Families:
 - 51% of families improved (*i.e.*, decreased) their risk
 - 44% of families remained at moderate risk
 - 4% of families worsen in their level of risk (*i.e.*, increased to high risk)

- Initially Low Risk Families:
 - 14% of families improved (*i.e.*, decreased) their risk
 - 79% of families remained at low risk
 - 8% of families worsen in their level of risk (*i.e.*, increased to moderate or high risk)

Among newly-served In-Home families, this descriptive analysis suggests that a greater proportion of high – moderate risk families experience decreased risk of child maltreatment while receiving In-Home Services than experience increased risk. The majority of low – no risk families remains at the same risk level, with more improved than worsened. This data does not, however, differentiate between cases in which the subsequent rating was done at the normal six-month interval or at an earlier time due to changes in the family's situation.

Comparison of Initial and Most Recent MFRA Ratings for New Cases							
Percent of Families Experiencing Worse, Same, or Improved Risk Ratings During Services							
Total In-Home Services							
	Initial Risk	N	Based on Most Recent Rating, Risk Level Was:			Cases with Incomplete Data	Percent Incomplete
			Worse	Same	Improved		
FY2010	High	716	n/a	24%	76%	1,582	20%
	Moderate	2,925	4%	33%	62%		
	Low	2,244	9%	78%	13%		
	None	432	0%	100%	0%		
FY2011	High	736	n/a	27%	73%	1,372	18%
	Moderate	2,901	4%	36%	60%		
	Low	2,066	9%	77%	14%		
	None	481	0%	100%	0%		
FY2012	High	667	n/a	37%	63%	1,945	22%
	Moderate	2,690	3%	48%	48%		
	Low	2,337	7%	81%	12%		
	None	1,104	0%	100%	0%		
FY2013	High	618	n/a	32%	67%	1,762	20%
	Moderate	2,646	4%	44%	51%		
	Low	2,242	8%	79%	14%		
	None*	1,467	0%	90%	0%		

*10% showed partial improvement

Table 139

Comparing Initial and the Final Risk Ratings among Closed In-Home Cases

The second method for studying the changes in risk is to review In-Home cases closed during each fiscal year and compare initial risk ratings with the final risk ratings to observe changes in risk from start to completion of the In-Home services. Table 140 presents an initial-to-final risk-change matrix. Data on the left shows the breakdown of families based on initial risk ratings; data on the right then graphically illustrates the percentages of families by risk rating at case close. Incomplete risk ratings for closed cases (17% missing for FY2010, 17% missing for FY2011, 19% missing for FY2012, and 22% for FY2013) hamper clarity. This analysis, however, provides some insight into the changes occurring among families receiving In-Home services.

For families that began services in FY2013, and have completed services, 15% of initially low-risk families have improved to no-risk; 59% of initially moderate-risk families have improved to no or low-risk; and 68% of initially high-risk families have improved to no, low, or moderate-risk (Table 140).

**Percent of Families at Final Risk Level, Based on Initial Risk Level
Total In-Home, FY2010 through FY2013**

The gray-shaded cells in these matrices represent no change in risk rating from intake to final rating, yellow-shaded cells indicate a worsening in maltreatment risk, and the green-shaded cells represent improvement (i.e., a decrease) in risk.

FY2010	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
6,218	Total	1,037	3,594	1,281	306	
556	None	75%	19%	4%	2%	
2,173	Low	13%	78%	8%	1%	
2,807	Moderate	10%	54%	33%	4%	
682	High	10%	42%	25%	23%	
1,296	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2011	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
5,883	Total	1,011	3,338	1,225	309	
569	None	80%	15%	3%	1%	
1,951	Low	14%	78%	6%	1%	
2,698	Moderate	8%	54%	34%	4%	
665	High	10%	40%	24%	26%	
1,097	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2012	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
5,644	Total	1,447	2,856	1,061	280	
1,098	None	93%	6%	1%	1%	
1,987	Low	13%	82%	4%	1%	
2,045	Moderate	7%	49%	41%	3%	
514	High	7%	33%	25%	35%	
1,435	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2013	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
7,291	Total	1,737	2,799	934	242	
1,362	None	92%	6%	1%	0%	
1,912	Low	15%	79%	5%	1%	
1,981	Moderate	8%	51%	37%	4%	
453	High	10%	37%	21%	32%	
1,583	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					

Table 140

The percentages contained in Table 140 correspond to the count of families in each of the Initial Risk categories. For example, during FY2013, 453 families (for whom both an initial and final MFRA rating was recorded in MD CHESSIE) entered In-Home services with a High level of maltreatment risk. By the time of

closing the case, 10% of those families had no risk, 37% had low risk, 21% had moderate risk, and 32% still had a high level of maltreatment risk.

Among those families who received both an initial and final MFRA risk rating, the overall proportions of families who decreased or held steady in their level of risk ranged from 93% in FY2010 to 95% in FY2013. Complete data are needed to make firm conclusions, but preliminary findings are that most families experience a decrease or no change in risk during the course of In-Home services, and this pattern is persistent.

Additional MFRA Risk Rating change matrices are presented for the Consolidated In-Home served families and the IFPS served families. Because the bulk of families are served in Consolidated In-Home, the first part of Table 141 resembles the presentation of Total In-Home services shown in Table 140.

**Percent of Families at Final Risk Level, Based on Initial Risk Level
Consolidated In-Home Services– FY2010 through FY2013**

The gray-shaded cells in these matrices represent no change in risk rating from intake to final rating, yellow-shaded cells indicate a worsening in maltreatment risk, and the green-shaded cells represent improvement (i.e., a decrease) in risk.

FY2010	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
5,421	Total	942	3,164	1,065	250	
522	None	75%	19%	4%	2%	
1,966	Low	13%	79%	7%	1%	
2,383	Moderate	10%	54%	32%	4%	
550	High	11%	41%	24%	24%	
1,107	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2011	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
5,073	Total	931	2,906	978	258	
536	None	80%	15%	3%	1%	
1,765	Low	14%	78%	7%	1%	
2,263	Moderate	8%	55%	33%	4%	
509	High	11%	42%	20%	27%	
992	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2012	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
4,992	Total	1,378	2,535	840	239	
1059	None	93%	6%	1%	1%	
1,829	Low	13%	81%	4%	1%	
1,686	Moderate	7%	50%	39%	4%	
418	High	8%	33%	23%	36%	
1,327	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2013	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
6,477	Total	1,664	2,460	665	208	
1,317	None	92%	6%	1%	0%	
1,727	Low	15%	79%	4%	1%	
1,594	Moderate	9%	54%	33%	4%	
358	High	11%	39%	14%	35%	
1,481	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					

Table 141

**Matrix indicating Percent of Families at Final Risk Level, Based on Initial Risk Level
Interagency Family Preservation Services -- FY2010 - FY2013**

The gray-shaded cells in these matrices represent no change in risk rating from intake to final rating, yellow-shaded cells indicate a worsening in maltreatment risk, and the green-shaded cells represent improvement (i.e., a decrease) in risk.

FY2010	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
797	Total	95	430	216	56	
34	None	76%	12%	9%	3%	
207	Low	13%	72%	11%	4%	
424	Moderate	8%	51%	36%	5%	
132	High	8%	45%	30%	18%	
188	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2011	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
810	Total	80	432	247	51	
33	None	82%	15%	3%	0%	
186	Low	11%	82%	5%	2%	
435	Moderate	4%	51%	41%	4%	
156	High	9%	34%	37%	21%	
105	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2012	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
652	Total	69	321	221	41	
39	None	85%	13%	3%	0%	
158	Low	10%	82%	8%	0%	
359	Moderate	4%	44%	50%	3%	
96	High	6%	30%	31%	32%	
108	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					
FY2013	Initial Risk		Final Risk			
			None	Low	Moderate	High
814	Total	73	339	269	34	
45	None	89%	4%	7%	0%	
185	Low	9%	82%	8%	1%	
387	Moderate	3%	40%	54%	3%	
95	High	5%	29%	44%	21%	
102	Missing initial, final, or both ratings					

Table 142

Prior to shifting over to DHR in FY2008, IFPS had stringent eligibility requirements, focused on families with children at imminent risk of out-of-home placement, and its service model featured lower caseloads and higher requirements for contact (face-to-face time) with family members. As part of the shift to DHR, eligibility criteria were subject to local preferences, along with service model design changes that LDSS

offices expected would make the inter-agency program work best in the local agency. These kinds of changes may have led to a loosening of eligibility criteria as well as variations in service delivery from one jurisdiction to another.

Even so, it is possible that some remnant of the historically-intensive focus of IFPS may have persisted after its absorption into the LDSS in-home service array. In FY2013, 12% of IFPS cases had an initial rating of high risk, compared to 6% of Consolidated In-Home cases. It also appears (Table 140 and Table 142) that a larger proportion of IFPS families initially rated high-risk experience decreased risk by case closing (82% in FY2010, 79% in FY2011, 68% in FY2012, and 78% in FY2013), compared to families initially rated high-risk in the Consolidated In-Home Services population (76% in FY2010, 73% in FY2011, 64% in FY2012, and 64% in FY2013).

In FY2013, 16% of families in Total In-Home services ended with a moderate or high-risk rating. Caution should be taken, however, when interpreting these results; a portion of these cases may have been transferred to out-of-home services because a safety concern was unable to be addressed in the home, necessitating the child's (children's) removal.

Summary

DHR In-Home services are a critical component of meeting the needs of thousands of vulnerable children and their families. In FY2013 approximately 18,700 children from 8,700 families received In-Home services (Table 134).

Among those who completed In-Home services, based on FY2013 entries:

- 68% of high-risk families improved/reduced risk, and
- 59% of moderate-risk families improved/reduced risk.

Among those served in In-Home services, based on FY2012 entries (most recent year for which data is available):

- 97.4% of children did not experience an indicated finding for maltreatment during services, and
- 95.6% remain with their families and were not removed into a DHR out-of-home placement during services.

Among those children whose In-Home services ended, based on FY2011 entries (the most recent year for which data is available):

- 96.7% of children did not experience an indicated finding for maltreatment within one year of case closure, and
- 97.5% remain with their families and were not removed into a DHR out-of-home placement within one year of case closure.

As of June 30, 2013, there were 5,960 children in DHR out-of-home care (DHR/SSA State Stat 03 file, June 2013); this is the lowest number of children requiring removal from their homes in over 25 years. The provision of DHR In-Home services and other community supports are crucial in keeping children in their homes and with their families.

DHR's Place Matters Initiative has been able to achieve this success for children and families through its Family-Centered Practice model and use of Family Involvement Meetings. Child, youth, and family involvement are essential in DHR's out-of-home and In-Home practice models, which also rely on community supports and services. Providing In-Home services and other supports to families is necessary to continue to keep children with their families and to strengthen families' abilities to care for their children.

APPENDIX 1: Placement by Jurisdiction

Family Home, Adoptive

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	3	8.1%	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	1	2.7%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	1	2.7%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	2	5.4%	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	3	8.1%	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	1	2.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	1	2.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	2	5.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	1	2.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	2	5.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	3	8.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	4	10.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Queen Anne's	2	5.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	10	27.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	1	2.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	37	100.0%	3	0	1	1	2	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	5	2	0	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	0
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			8.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	5.4%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	13.5%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.7%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	

Family Home, Foster Care

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	25	2.1%	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	68	5.7%	0	54	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	2
Baltimore	116	9.7%	0	1	99	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Baltimore City	356	29.8%	0	6	75	256	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	4
Calvert	34	2.8%	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	21	1.8%	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	23	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	63	5.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Charles	40	3.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dorchester	1	0.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	50	4.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	15	1.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	70	5.9%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	17	1.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	84	7.0%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	76	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Prince George's	80	6.7%	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	2	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
Queen Anne's	3	0.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Somerset	12	1.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	24	2.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Talbot	9	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0
Washington	60	5.0%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	0	0	2	0	0
Wicomico	11	0.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Worcester	11	0.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	1193	100.0%	26	61	176	271	32	22	24	63	43	0	50	16	74	23	0	80	72	2	8	21	5	58	20	11	24	11
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	79.4%	85.3%	71.9%	94.1%	100.0%	100.0%	98.4%	97.5%	0.0%	98.0%	100.0%	98.6%	100.0%	0.0%	90.5%	83.8%	66.7%	66.7%	87.5%	55.6%	93.3%	100.0%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			2.2%	5.1%	14.8%	22.7%	2.7%	1.8%	2.0%	5.3%	3.6%	0.0%	4.2%	1.3%	6.2%	1.9%	0.0%	6.7%	6.0%	0.2%	0.7%	1.8%	0.4%	4.9%	1.7%	0.9%	2.0%	0.9%

Family Home, Relative Care

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
			Allegany	19	2.0%	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	14	1.5%	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Baltimore	32	3.4%	0	1	19	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Baltimore City	584	62.4%	0	12	82	426	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	12	6	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	19	
Calvert	24	2.6%	0	3	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Caroline	2	0.2%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	6	0.6%	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	16	1.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Charles	17	1.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Dorchester	4	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Frederick	22	2.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	
Garrett	8	0.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Harford	33	3.5%	0	1	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	
Howard	4	0.4%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	60	6.4%	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	45	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	
Prince George's	41	4.4%	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	5	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
St. Mary's	33	3.5%	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	12	1.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	936	100.0%	16	29	110	440	18	0	8	14	10	1	22	8	32	9	0	48	52	0	4	34	0	11	0	1	27	42	
% of children from jurisdiction			84.2%	64.3%	59.4%	72.9%	62.5%	0.0%	83.3%	75.0%	52.9%	25.0%	72.7%	87.5%	48.5%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	73.2%	0.0%	80.0%	87.9%	0.0%	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% children statewide in all			1.7%	3.1%	11.8%	47.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	1.1%	0.1%	2.4%	0.9%	3.4%	1.0%	0.0%	5.1%	5.6%	0.0%	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.1%	2.9%	4.5%	

Family Home, Restricted Relative Care

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
Allegany	5	1.3%	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Anne Arundel	4	1.0%	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Baltimore	40	10.5%	0	0	18	11	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Baltimore City	265	69.4%	0	6	60	172	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	
Calvert	10	2.6%	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	2	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	3	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	2	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	1	0.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	22	5.8%	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1		
Prince George's	15	3.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Queen Anne's	3	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	4	1.0%	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	6	1.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	382	100.0%	4	12	78	183	6	0	1	4	1	0	3	0	13	6	0	14	20	3	0	6	0	7	0	0	19	2	
% of children from jurisdiction			80.0%	75.0%	45.0%	64.9%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% children statewide in all			1.0%	3.1%	20.4%	47.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	3.4%	1.6%	0.0%	3.7%	5.2%	0.8%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.5%	

Family Home, Treatment Foster Care

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	9	0.5%	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Anne Arundel	38	2.1%	0	9	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0
Baltimore	188	10.4%	0	5	104	51	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	15	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	964	53.3%	0	27	418	405	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	0	21	18	0	3	42	0	1	0	0	3	10	0	1	5
Calvert	14	0.8%	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Caroline	10	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Carroll	3	0.2%	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	26	1.4%	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	13	0.7%	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Dorchester	7	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Frederick	20	1.1%	1	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
Garrett	3	0.2%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	46	2.5%	0	1	12	5	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Howard	17	0.9%	0	1	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kent	1	0.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	81	4.5%	0	2	12	3	0	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	2	1	0	29	17	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	1
Prince George's	235	13.0%	0	4	21	14	6	0	3	0	7	1	0	0	0	7	0	3	165	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1
Queen Anne's	5	0.3%	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Somerset	11	0.6%	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
St. Mary's	37	2.0%	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Talbot	9	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Washington	31	1.7%	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	21	1.2%	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	13	1	0	0
Worcester	16	0.9%	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	0	0
OOS	2	0.1%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	1807	100.0%	12	53	607	492	9	4	18	13	30	18	8	5	70	35	6	39	245	3	7	0	2	46	71	3	2	9
% of children from jurisdiction			77.8%	23.7%	55.3%	42.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	26.9%	30.8%	14.3%	5.0%	66.7%	43.5%	17.6%	100.0%	35.8%	70.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.8%	61.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.7%	2.9%	33.6%	27.2%	0.5%	0.2%	1.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.0%	0.4%	0.3%	3.9%	1.9%	0.3%	2.2%	13.6%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	2.5%	3.9%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%

Family Home, Living Arrangement

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	8	2.6%	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	5	1.6%	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Baltimore	45	14.4%	0	0	11	18	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	10
Baltimore City	103	32.9%	0	1	2	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	13
Calvert	1	0.3%	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	2	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	5	1.6%	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	7	2.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Charles	5	1.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	6	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	34	10.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
Howard	1	0.3%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	33	10.5%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Prince George's	23	7.3%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3
Queen Anne's	2	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Somerset	2	0.6%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
St. Mary's	13	4.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	18	5.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	6
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	313	100.0%	8	5	14	98	1	0	7	7	1	4	10	1	27	1	0	23	21	0	1	12	0	10	1	0	16	45
% of children from jurisdiction			87.5%	80.0%	24.4%	73.8%	100.0%	0.0%	80.0%	85.7%	20.0%	0.0%	83.3%	0.0%	76.5%	0.0%	0.0%	69.7%	56.5%	0.0%	50.0%	92.3%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			2.6%	1.6%	4.5%	31.3%	0.3%	0.0%	2.2%	2.2%	0.3%	1.3%	3.2%	0.3%	8.6%	0.3%	0.0%	7.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.3%	3.8%	0.0%	3.2%	0.3%	0.0%	5.1%	14.4%

Community-Based Placement, Independent Living

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	4	1.7%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	17	7.0%	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baltimore City	155	64.0%	0	0	75	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	
Calvert	1	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	2	0.8%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	2	0.8%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	3	1.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	5	2.1%	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	2	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	16	6.6%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Prince George's	28	11.6%	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Queen Anne's	2	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Somerset	2	0.8%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	1	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	1	0.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Wicomico	1	0.4%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	242	100.0%	0	0	100	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	23	28	0	0	3	0	1	7	0	1	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	94.1%	43.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	68.8%	67.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% children statewide in all			0.0%	0.0%	41.3%	30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%	9.5%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	

Community-Based Placement, Residential Child Care Programs

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	22	1.9%	10	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	
Anne Arundel	77	6.7%	4	8	26	9	0	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	6	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	1	
Baltimore	115	10.0%	2	2	49	21	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	6	1	7	7	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	5	1	
Baltimore City	299	26.0%	2	8	52	137	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	24	37	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	9	1	
Calvert	16	1.4%	1	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	7	0.6%	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Carroll	15	1.3%	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	27	2.4%	0	0	9	6	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Charles	24	2.1%	1	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Dorchester	11	1.0%	1	0	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Frederick	39	3.4%	3	0	4	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	2	0	
Garrett	9	0.8%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
Harford	51	4.4%	0	1	16	3	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	1	9	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	4	0	
Howard	24	2.1%	0	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0		
Kent	3	0.3%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	93	8.1%	0	5	12	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	52	4	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	3	0	
Prince George's	177	15.4%	5	7	31	17	1	1	0	5	0	2	0	1	1	2	30	51	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	3	0	
Queen Anne's	10	0.9%	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Somerset	8	0.7%	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0		
St. Mary's	16	1.4%	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	
Talbot	5	0.4%	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Washington	50	4.4%	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	1	0	
Wicomico	35	3.0%	3	0	7	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	3	0	
Worcester	4	0.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	11	1.0%	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	1148	100.0%	36	34	267	205	6	24	0	19	17	0	17	10	19	3	15	150	128	0	0	0	0	138	15	0	42	3
% of children from jurisdiction			45.5%	10.4%	42.6%	45.8%	12.5%	14.3%	0.0%	14.8%	25.0%	0.0%	5.1%	22.2%	17.6%	0.0%	33.3%	55.9%	28.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.0%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			3.1%	3.0%	23.3%	17.9%	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.9%	1.7%	0.3%	1.3%	13.1%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%	1.3%	0.0%	3.7%	0.3%	

Community-Based Placement, Community Supported Living Arrangement

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	2	2.5%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	3	3.7%	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	11	13.6%	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	5	6.2%	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	2	2.5%	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	8	9.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	2	2.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	1	1.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	5	6.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	23	28.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	13	16.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	1	1.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	2	2.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	3	3.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	81	100.0%	2	2	10	5	2	0	9	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	0	23	13	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	66.7%	90.9%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			2.5%	2.5%	12.3%	6.2%	2.5%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	7.4%	0.0%	28.4%	16.0%	0.0%	1.2%	2.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Community-Based Placement, Living Arrangement

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
Allegany	1	1.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	2	3.4%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Baltimore	5	8.6%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Baltimore City	31	53.4%	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	3	
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	1	1.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	10	17.2%	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Prince George's	7	12.1%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	1	1.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	58	100.0%	1	0	7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	9	0	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	11	5	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% children statewide in all			1.7%	0.0%	12.1%	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	15.5%	0.0%	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	19.0%	8.6%	

Non-Community-Based Placement, Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Programs

Home Jurisdiction of Children			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																								
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS
# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction																										
Allegany	1	1.4%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	6	8.1%	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	8	10.8%	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	8	10.8%	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	1	1.4%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	3	4.1%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	2	2.7%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	4	5.4%	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	4	5.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	4	5.4%	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	5	6.8%	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	1	1.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	7	9.5%	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	4	5.4%	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	2	2.7%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	13	17.6%	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	1	1.4%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	74	100.0%	0	0	39	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.0%	0.0%	52.7%	39.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Non-Community-Based Placement, Detention

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	5	1.0%	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	46	9.1%	16	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	38	7.5%	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	103	20.3%	34	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	2	0.4%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	1	0.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	9	1.8%	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	1	0.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	7	1.4%	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	5	1.0%	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	19	3.7%	11	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	3	0.6%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	6	1.2%	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	4	0.8%	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	81	15.9%	45	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	113	22.2%	56	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	2	0.4%	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	2	0.4%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	9	1.8%	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	18	3.5%	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	20	3.9%	6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	7	1.4%	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	7	1.4%	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	508	100.0%	227	0	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% of children from jurisdiction			100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			44.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	23.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Non-Community-Based Placement, Non-Secure

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	1	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	22	32.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	1	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	1	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	1	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	1	1.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	6	9.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Prince George's	21	31.3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	2	3.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	2	3.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	9	13.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	67	100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%	0.0%	
% children statewide in all			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64.2%	0.0%	

Non-Community-Based Placement, Residential Education

Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anne Arundel	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Baltimore	5	9.4%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Baltimore City	6	11.3%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Charles	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	7	13.2%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Harford	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	1	1.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	2	3.8%	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	16	30.2%	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Prince George's	8	15.1%	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	53	100.0%	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.0%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	

Non-Community-Based Placement, Residential Treatment Centers

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	8	1.1%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	55	7.4%	0	3	14	18	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Baltimore	128	17.1%	0	0	40	84	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Baltimore City	156	20.9%	0	0	73	65	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Calvert	6	0.8%	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Caroline	1	0.1%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	5	0.7%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	12	1.6%	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	18	2.4%	0	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	20	2.7%	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Frederick	35	4.7%	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Garrett	5	0.7%	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	31	4.1%	0	0	12	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	27	3.6%	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	4	0.5%	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	94	12.6%	0	0	11	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Prince George's	59	7.9%	0	0	23	17	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Queen Anne's	4	0.5%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	6	0.8%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	7	0.9%	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Talbot	7	0.9%	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	22	2.9%	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wicomico	23	3.1%	0	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Worcester	5	0.7%	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	3	0.4%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	6	0.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Grand Total	747	100.0%	0	4	212	290	0	0	0	0	0	48	76	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	5.5%	31.3%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	65.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.0%	0.5%	28.4%	38.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%

Non-Community-Based Placement, Substance Abuse and Addition Programs (ASAM)

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	3	0.5%	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	83	15.1%	21	0	0	23	0	0	7	0	0	13	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Baltimore	68	12.4%	17	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	0	6	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
Baltimore City	119	21.6%	11	0	0	29	0	0	22	0	0	7	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0
Calvert	10	1.8%	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	3	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Carroll	18	3.3%	8	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Cecil	5	0.9%	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	15	2.7%	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dorchester	9	1.6%	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	14	2.5%	5	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	4	0.7%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	12	2.2%	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	7	1.3%	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Kent	3	0.5%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	31	5.6%	8	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	
Prince George's	71	12.9%	0	0	0	14	0	0	20	0	0	7	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	
Queen Anne's	2	0.4%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	4	0.7%	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	11	2.0%	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Talbot	3	0.5%	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	4	0.7%	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	31	5.6%	12	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Worcester	9	1.6%	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	11	2.0%	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	550	100.0%	123	0	0	114	0	0	67	0	0	43	30	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	
% of children from jurisdiction			33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	24.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	5.5%	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	0.0%

Hospitalization, Psychiatric

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	33	30.8%	0	0	27	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	3	2.8%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Baltimore City	5	4.7%	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calvert	1	0.9%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caroline	2	1.9%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	3	2.8%	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	3	2.8%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Garrett	3	2.8%	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	4	3.7%	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	2	1.9%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	13	12.1%	1	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Prince George's	7	6.5%	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Queen Anne's	2	1.9%	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	10	9.3%	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talbot	3	2.8%	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	7	6.5%	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	6	5.6%	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	107	100.0%	2	0	79	8	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			1.9%	0.0%	73.8%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%

Hospitalization, In-Patient Private

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																										
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction	Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown	
			Allegany	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anne Arundel	1	16.7%	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore City	3	50.0%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Calvert	1	16.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Caroline	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cecil	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrett	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harford	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Howard	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prince George's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's	1	16.7%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Talbot	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wicomico	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	6	100.0%	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	
% of children from jurisdiction			0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%	

Unknown

			Jurisdiction Where Children Were Placed																									
Home Jurisdiction of Children	# children from jurisdiction in placement	% of children statewide in placements from jurisdiction																										
			Allegany	Anne Arundel	Baltimore	Baltimore City	Calvert	Caroline	Carroll	Cecil	Charles	Dorchester	Frederick	Garrett	Harford	Howard	Kent	Montgomery	Prince George's	Queen Anne's	Somerset	St. Mary's	Talbot	Washington	Wicomico	Worcester	OOS	Unknown
Allegany	3	1.4%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Anne Arundel	2	0.9%	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baltimore	18	8.5%	0	1	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Baltimore City	105	49.3%	0	2	16	79	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
Calvert	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Caroline	1	0.5%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Carroll	1	0.5%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cecil	6	2.8%	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Charles	3	1.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dorchester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Frederick	5	2.3%	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Garrett	3	1.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Harford	8	3.8%	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Howard	2	0.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kent	1	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Montgomery	16	7.5%	0	0	0	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Prince George's	28	13.1%	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
Queen Anne's	1	0.5%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Somerset	1	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
St. Mary's	1	0.5%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Talbot	2	0.9%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Washington	3	1.4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
Wicomico	3	1.4%	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Worcester	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OOS	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grand Total	213	100.0%	1	6	29	95	1	0	2	2	1	2	2	5	6	5	0	10	16	2	1	2	0	6	2	0	16	1
% of children from jurisdiction			33.3%	100.0%	38.9%	75.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	40.0%	66.7%	37.5%	100.0%	0.0%	43.8%	46.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% children statewide in all			0.5%	2.8%	13.6%	44.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.9%	2.3%	2.8%	2.3%	0.0%	4.7%	7.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0%	2.8%	0.9%	0.0%	7.5%	0.5%

APPENDIX 2: Capacity Utilization by Placement Category

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Foster Care				Foster Care				Washington
Foster Care				Foster Care				Garrett
Foster Care				Foster Care				Washington
Foster Care				Foster Care				Washington
Treatment Foster Care	Hearts and Homes - Damamli Independent	Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	35	18	21	Howard
Treatment Foster Care	Hearts and Homes - Damamli Independent	Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	35	18	21	Howard
Treatment Foster Care	Progressive Life Center, Inc.	Progressive Life Center, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	3	18	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	The Arc Baltimore Treatment Foster Care	The ARC Baltimore, Inc.	DHR	CPA: TFC Medically Fragile	40	0	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Pressley Ridge Cumberland	Pressley Ridge, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	40	0	20	Allegany
Treatment Foster Care	Baltimore Adolescent Treatment Guide	Baltimore Adolescent Treatment Guid	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	24	14	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Children's Choice Baltimore	The Children's Choice Of Maryland,	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	99	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Children's Guild TFC	The Children's Guild, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	60	0	21	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Martin Pollak Treatment Foster Care	The Martin Pollak Project, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	78	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Pressley Ridge Baltimore	Pressley Ridge, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	50	0	21	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Pressley Ridge	Pressley Ridge, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	45	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Residential Care, Inc. Baltimore	Residential Care, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	89	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	WIN Family Services, Inc. CPA	WIN Family Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	92	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Children's Guild TFC	The Children's Guild, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	60	0	21	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	The Children's Home	The Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	38	0	20	Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Treatment Foster Care	Residential Care, Inc. Baltimore	Residential Care, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	89	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Second Home CPA	Second Home, Incorporated	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	35	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Progressive Life Center	Progressive Life Center, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	62	0	20	Baltimore City
Treatment Foster Care	Arrow Child & Family - CPA TFC Baltimore	Arrow Child & Family Ministries of	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	80	0	18	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	MENTOR Maryland	MENTOR Maryland, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	78	0	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	MENTOR Maryland Caton Center	MENTOR Maryland, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	47	13	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	MENTOR Maryland Caton Center	MENTOR Maryland, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	47	13	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	PSI Services -- Baltimore	PSI Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	37	0	21	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Arrow Child & Family - CPA TFC Baltimore	Arrow Child & Family Ministries of	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	80	0	18	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Board of Child Care TFC	Board of Child Care of the United M	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	28	0	21	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Sheridan Patterson Center CPA	Sheridan Patterson Center for Holistic Family Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	36	0	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	MENTOR Maryland	MENTOR Maryland, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	78	0	20	Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Baptist Family and Children's Services	Baptist Family and Children's Services	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	54	0	21	Howard
Treatment Foster Care	PSI Services -- Chevy Chase	PSI Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	8	0	21	Montgomery
Treatment Foster Care	NHS Maryland CPA - Rockville	NHS Maryland	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	10	12	17	Montgomery
Treatment Foster Care	Children's Choice Inc. College Park	The Children's Choice Of Maryland,	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	99	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	Foundations For Home and Community	Foundations for Home and Community,	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	108	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	Seraaj Family Homes - Riverdale CPA	Seraaj Family Homes, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	19	0	21	Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Treatment Foster Care	Williams Life Center, Inc. CPA	Williams Life Center, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	46	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	CONCERN Lanham CPA	CONCERN - Professional for Children	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	46	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	Williams Life Center, Inc. CPA	Williams Life Center, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	46	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	Contemporary Family Services	Contemporary Family Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	0	0	21	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	Good Children in the Making – Family Services	Good Children in the Making, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	18	0	20	Prince George's
Treatment Foster Care	San Mar Children's Home Inc. TFC	San Mar Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	45	0	20	Washington
Treatment Foster Care	Maple Shade TFC	Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	7	0	21	Wicomico
Treatment Foster Care	Maple Shade TFC	Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	7	0	21	Wicomico
Treatment Foster Care	Rejoice Inc.	Rejoice Inc.	OOS	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	1	0	20	Out of State
Treatment Foster Care	Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties Treatment Foster Care	Hearts & Homes for Youth		Treatment Foster Care				Howard
Treatment Foster Care	Mentor Maryland - Baltimore Teens In Transition	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Treatment Foster Care				Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	The Board of Child Care- Alternatives for Youth and Families- TFC -Southern MD	The Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church		Treatment Foster Care				St. Mary's
Treatment Foster Care	Arrow Child and Family Ministries - Foster Care	Arrow Child and Family Ministries		Treatment Foster Care				Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Pressley Ridge -Treatment Foster Care	Pressley Ridge		Treatment Foster Care				Allegany
Treatment Foster Care	San Mar Treatment Foster Care	San Mar		Treatment Foster Care				Washington
Treatment Foster Care	Woodbourne Center Treatment Foster Care	Woodbourne Center, Inc.		Treatment Foster Care				Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Mentor Maryland - Easton Children's Services	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Treatment Foster Care				Wicomico
Treatment Foster Care	Mentor Maryland -Lanham Children's Services TFC	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Treatment Foster Care				Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Treatment Foster Care	Children's Home - Treatment Foster Care	The Children's Home		Treatment Foster Care				Baltimore County
Treatment Foster Care	Mentor Maryland - Salisbury Teens In Transition	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Treatment Foster Care				Wicomico
Treatment Foster Care	Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore County) - Community Solutions, Inc.	Community Solutions, Inc		Treatment Foster Care				Baltimore County
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Richcroft	Richcroft, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Richcroft	Richcroft, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Richcroft	Richcroft, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Richcroft	Richcroft, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Southern Maryland, Inc.	Arc of Southern Maryland, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Calvert
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Southern Maryland, Inc.	Arc of Southern Maryland, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Calvert
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	Melwood Horticultural Training Center	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	Arc of Montgomery County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Prince George's County Inc.	Arc of Prince George's County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Prince George's County Inc.	Arc of Prince George's County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Prince Georges County Inc.	Arc of Prince Georges County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Center For Life Enrichment	The Center For Life Enrichment	DHMH	CSLA				Charles
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	Lt. Joseph P. Kennedy Institute	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Jewish Social Service Agency	Jewish Social Service Agency	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Jewish Social Service Agency	Jewish Social Service Agency	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Bay Community Support Services, Inc.	Bay Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				St. Mary
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Bay Community Support Services, Inc.	Bay Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Charles
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Howard County	Arc of Howard County	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Jewish Community Services, Inc.	Jewish Community Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore City
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	EMERGE	EMERGE	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	EMERGE	EMERGE	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	EMERGE	EMERGE	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Northern Chesapeake, Inc.	Arc of Northern Chesapeake, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Harford
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Living Hope, Inc.	Living Hope, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Living Hope, Inc.	Living Hope, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Living Hope, Inc.	Living Hope, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Of The Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	The Arc Of The Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Anne Arundel
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Of The Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	The Arc Of The Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Anne Arundel
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Social Health Services Group Inc.	Social Health Services Group Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Comprehensive Residential Systems, Inc.	Comprehensive Residential Systems, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Washington
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Allegany
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Allegany

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	Arc of Washington County, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Washington
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	Arc of Carroll County Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Change, Inc.	Change, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Change, Inc.	Change, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Change, Inc.	Change, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Change, Inc.	Change, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Target Community and Educational Services	Target Community and Educational Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Target Community and Educational Services	Target Community and Educational Services	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Maryland Community Connection	Maryland Community Connection	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Maryland Community Connection	Maryland Community Connection	DHMH	CSLA				Prince George's
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Humanim	Humanim	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Humanim	Humanim	DHMH	CSLA				Howard
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Humanim	Humanim	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Somerset
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore City
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore City
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	The Arc Baltimore, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Baltimore
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Penn Mar Organization, Inc.	Penn Mar Organization, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Carroll
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Sunrise Community Of Maryland, Inc.	Sunrise Community Of Maryland, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				St. Mary
CSLA (Community Supported Living Arrangement)	Sykesville Woods Services, Inc.	Sykesville Woods Services, Inc.	DHMH	CSLA				Montgomery
Independent Living	Jumoke, Inc. Independent Living Program	Jumoke, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	22	16	20	Baltimore City
Independent Living	Martin Pollak Independent Living Program	The Martin Pollak Project, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	30	16	20	Baltimore City
Independent Living	Martin Pollak Independent Living Program	The Martin Pollak Project, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	30	16	20	Baltimore City
Independent Living	New Pathways -- Independence Plus	New Pathways, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	57	17	20	Baltimore City
Independent Living	New Pathways -- Independence Plus	New Pathways, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	57	17	20	Baltimore City
Independent Living	Challengers Independent Living	Challengers Independent Living, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	45	16	20	Baltimore County
Independent Living	Challengers Independent Living	Challengers Independent Living, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	45	16	20	Baltimore County
Independent Living	Mosaic Community Services, Inc. TAY	Mosaic Community Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	12	16	20	Baltimore County

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Independent Living	Catholic Charities DC ILP	Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	7	16	20	Prince George's
Independent Living	Umbrella Therapeutic Services, Inc.	Umbrella Therapeutic Services, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	10	18	20	Prince George's
Independent Living	Wellington Inc. -- Kindness House	Wellington Incorporated	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	20	16	21	Prince George's
Independent Living	National Center for Children and Families	The National Center for Children an	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	30	0	20	Montgomery
Independent Living	National Center for Children and Families - Future Bound Independent Living	National Center for Children and Families		Independent Living				Montgomery
Independent Living	New Pathways-Independence Plus	New Pathways		Independent Living				Baltimore City
Independent Living	Hearts and Homes - Damamli Independent Living Program for Pregnant and Teen Mother	Hearts and Homes, Inc.		Independent Living				Howard
Independent Living	Jumoke - Independent Living	Jumoke, Inc.		Independent Living				Baltimore City
Independent Living	San Mar - Anderson House - Independent Living	San Mar		Independent Living				Washington
Independent Living	Mentor Maryland - Baltimore - Independent Living	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Independent Living				Baltimore County
Independent Living	Mentor Maryland - Salisbury - Independent Living	Mentor Maryland, Inc.		Independent Living				Wicomico
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Bay Shore Services, Inc.	Bay Shore Services, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Wicomico
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Compass, Inc.	Compass, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Prince George's
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Compass, Inc.	Compass, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Montgomery
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Compass, Inc.	Compass, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Montgomery
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Creative Options	Creative Options	DHMH	ALU				Baltimore
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Living Hope, Inc.	Living Hope, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	CBAI	CBAI	DHMH	ALU				Baltimore City
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Fidelity Resources Inc.	Fidelity Resources Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Baltimore
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Dove Pointe Residential Services	Dove Pointe Residential Svc	DHMH	ALU				Wicomico
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Dove Pointe Residential Services	Dove Pointe Residential Svc	DHMH	ALU				Wicomico
RES (Alternative Living Unit)	Northstar Special Services, Inc.	Northstar Special Services, Inc.	DHMH	ALU				Baltimore
RES (Group Home)	Ebed Community Improvement Inc.	Ebed Community Improvement Inc.	DHMH	GH				Prince George's
RES (Group Home)	Ebed Community Improvement Inc.	Ebed Community Improvement Inc.	DHMH	GH				Prince George's
RES (Group Home)	Shura, Inc.	Shura, Inc.	DHMH	GH				Baltimore
RES (Group Home)	Community Living Inc.	Community Living Inc.	DHMH	GH				Frederick
RES (Group Home)	Community Support Services	Community Support Services	DHMH	GH				Montgomery
RES (Group Home)	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	DHMH	GH				Wicomico
RES (Group Home)	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	Somerset Community Services, Inc.	DHMH	GH				Wicomico
RES (Group Home)	Second Family Adult Homes, Inc.	Second Family, Inc.	DHMH	GH				Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of the Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	ARC of the Central Chesapeake Region, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	14	20	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	LifeLine Inc.	LifeLine Inc	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	0	20	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	LifeLine Inc.	LifeLine Inc	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	14	20	Anne Arundel

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	LifeLine Inc.	LifeLine Inc	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	15	19	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	LifeLine Inc.	LifeLine Inc	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	14	19	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Brotherhood and Sisterhood	Brotherhood and Sisterhood Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	14	18	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Brotherhood and Sisterhood	Brotherhood and Sisterhood Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	16	19	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CSAAC	Community School for Autistic Adult Care	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	0	21	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CSAAC	Community School for Autistic Adult Care	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	0	20	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Head Injury Rehabilitation & Referral Services	Head Injury Rehabilitation & Referral Services	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	18	20	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Brotherhood and Sisterhood International	Brotherhood and Sisterhood Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	14	18	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	3	0	21	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	5	0	11	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	4	0	21	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	4	0	21	Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Dove Pointe - Edgewater	Dove Pointe Residential Services, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	0	20	Wicomico
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Dove Pointe - Jerome	Dove Pointe Residential Services, Inc.	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	1	0	20	Wicomico
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Tender Care	Tender Care	DDA	Alternative Living Unit	5	13	18	Wicomico
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	NCIA		Alternative Living Units				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	King Edwards' Inc. ILP	King Edwards' Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	30	16	21	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	New Pathways -- Second Generations	New Pathways, Inc.	DHR	CPA: Independent Living	24	16	21	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CONCERN Lanham CPA	CONCERN - Professional for Children	DHR	CPA: Treatment Foster Care	46	0	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts & Homes for Youth - Kemp Mill Group Home	Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc.		Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts & Homes for Youth-Helen Smith Girls Group Home	Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc.		Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts & Homes for Youth -John C. Tracey Group Home	Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc.		Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Board of Child Care - Group Home	The Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church, Inc.		Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Cedar Ridge Ministries - Cedar Ridge - Jordan House Group Home	Cedar Ridge Ministries		Group Home				Washington

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Kent Youth Boys Group Home	Kent Youth Boys Group Home		Group Home				Kent
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts & Homes for Youth - Jump Start Transitional Boy's Home	Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc.		Group Home				Prince George`s
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Oak Hill House-US Fellowship Inc.	Our House Youth Home, Inc.		Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Our House Youth Home	Our House Youth Home, Inc.		Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children's Resources – Shining Tree Children's Home	Children's Resources, Inc.		Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	St Ann's Infant and Maternity Home - Group Home	St Ann's Infant and Maternity Home		Group Home				Prince George`s
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children's Home Long Term Group Home	The Children's Home, Inc.		Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washington County- Foundations - Children's Residential Services (CRS)	Arc of Washington County, Inc.		Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Maryland Salem Children's Trust, Inc. Group Home (Western MD)	The Maryland Salem Children's Trust, Inc		Group Home				Allegany
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Family Services - Randallstown	Family Services, Inc.		Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maryland Salem Children's Trust Group Home	Maryland Salem Children's Trust, Inc.		Group Home				Allegany
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maryland Treatment Center- Chesapeake -The Way Home-Mt Manor	Maryland Treatment Center		Group Home				Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maryland Sheriff's Youth Ranch. Inc.	Maryland Sheriff's Youth Ranch. Inc.		Group Home				Frederick
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	One Love Group Home - Building Communities Today for Tomorrow	One Love Group Home		Group Home				Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Jumoke - Group Homes	Jumoke, Inc.		Group Home				Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	MAGIC - Purnell Drive	MAGIC, Inc.		Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar -Graff Academy for Life Readiness	San Mar		Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc. Maine	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	12	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Transformations -- Windsor	Transformations, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	10	16	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Tuttie's Place	Tuttie's Place	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	15	16	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Woodbourne Center - Diagnostic	Woodbourne Center, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	16	12	17	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Arrow Child & Family - Diagnostic Center	Arrow Child & Family Ministries	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	45	12	18	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Associated Catholic Charities St Vincent's Villa	Associated Catholic Charities Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	25	3	13	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care Main Campus	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	109	9	20	Baltimore County

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Sheppard Pratt High Intensity Adolescent	Sheppard Pratt Health System, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	23	11	21	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Children's Home -- Diagnostic	The Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	16	13	19	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Children's Home Long Term Care	The Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	45	13	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Children's Home Transitional Li	The Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	8	13	17	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Benedictine	The Benedictine School For Exceptional	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	5	21	Caroline
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care - Denton	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	16	11	18	Caroline
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maryland Sheriffs' Youth Ranch - Frederick	Maryland Sheriffs' Youth Ranch, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	12	10	18	Frederick
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maryland Salem Children's Trust, In	The Maryland Salem Children's Trust	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	12	6	18	Garrett
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Arrow Child & Family - Transitional	Arrow Child & Family Ministries of Maryland	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	18	13	21	Harford
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Linwood Center -- North Rogers	Linwood Center, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	3	4	21	Howard
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	8	13	17	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Our House, Inc.	Our House, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	6	16	21	Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	St. Ann's Center for Children, Youth, and Families	St. Ann's Center for Children, Youth, and Families	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	12	0	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Brook Lane - Residential Group Home	Brook Lane Health Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	8	13	18	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Cedar Ridge Children's Home	Cedar Ridge Children's Home and School	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	2	6	21	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar Children's Home, Inc. Group Home	San Mar Children's Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	7	12	18	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Grafton Group Home	Grafton School	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of state
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Woods Group Home	Woods Services	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of state
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Abraxas Academy Pennsylvania	Abraxas Academy	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	AdvoServ Group Homes	AdvoServ	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Canyon State	Rite of Passages	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	1	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Carlton Palms	Carlton Palms	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	21	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Clarinda Academy Facility	Clarinda Academy	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Cumberland Hospital Facility	Cumberland Hospital	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Mid Atlantic Youth Services	Mid Atlantic Youth Services-Luzerne	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	National Deaf Academy Group Home	National Deaf Academy	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Marcos	San Marcos	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	21	Out of State
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Center for Social Change MFP Chapman	Center for Social Change, Inc	DDA	RCC: Medically Fragile	5	3	21	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Medically Fragile	5	0	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family - Crosswick	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Medically Fragile	5	0	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Brook Lane - Stone Bridge Transitional Care	Brook Lane Health Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Respite	19	6	17	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care Safe Haven	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	9	18	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	JS Social Services - Youthtown USA	JS Social Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	17	20	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	LifeLine	LifeLine, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	3	9	20	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Aries Residential Services	Aries Residential Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Care With Class	Care With Class, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	15	19	Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Care With Class, Inc.	Care With Class, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Care With Class, Inc.	Care With Class, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Challengers – Bert’s Place Too	Challengers Independent Living, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	7	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Challengers Bert’s Place	Challengers Independent Living, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	16	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Changing Lives At Home	Changing Lives At Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	15	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Compassion	Compassion Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	16	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Compassion, Inc. Oakland	Compassion Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	16	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Day By Day Residential Services	Day By Day Residential Services, In	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	14	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Franklin Group Homes, Inc.	Franklin Group Homes, Incorporated	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	13	16	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Goliven Group Homes	Goliven Group Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	16	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Inspiring Minds	Inspiring Minds Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	16	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Jumoke, Inc.	Jumoke, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	17	20	Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Jumoke, Inc. Eveshem	Jumoke, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	18	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Jumoke, Inc. Gwynn	Jumoke, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	16	19	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	McJoy's Joy Covenant	McJoy's Joy Covenant, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	15	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA	National Center on Institutions and Alternatives	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	14	17	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA	National Center on Institutions and Alternatives	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	14	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA	National Center on Institutions and Alternatives	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	17	21	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA	National Center on Institutions and Alternatives	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	14	17	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Rolling Vista Place	Rolling Vista Place Incorporated	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	16	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Sarah's House I	Sarah's House, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	17	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Sarah's House II	Sarah's House, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	18	20	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	We Are The World	We Are The World, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	14	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Youth Enterprises Services, Inc.	Youth Enterprises Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	16	20	Baltimore City

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Associated Catholic Charities Villa	Associated Catholic Charities, Inc.	DHMH	RCC: Small Group Home	6	9	14	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	Aunt Hattie's Place, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	16	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care Nicodemus	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	15	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	15	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Center for Social Change	Center for Social Change, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	9	21	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Franklin Group Homes, Inc.	Franklin Group Homes, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	13	16	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Inner-County Outreach	Inner-County Outreach Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	16	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	MAGIC 9 Walden Oak	Making A Great Individual Contribution	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	13	18	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	MAGIC 9 Walden Oak	Making A Great Individual Contribution	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	18	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	NCIA Shoshone Way	National Center on Institutions and Alternatives - Maryland	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	17	21	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Place for Children	The Place for Children, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	3	12	15	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Place for Children Vosges	The Place for Children, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	12	15	Baltimore County

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Starflight	Starflight Enterprises, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	15	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Youth Enterprises Services Inc.	Youth Enterprises Services, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	16	20	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	13	21	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	4	13	21	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	1	6	16	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	3	13	21	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	13	21	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Shorehaven	Shorehaven, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	2	13	21	Cecil
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Structures Youth Home	Structures Youth Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	7	14	18	Charles
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Structures Youth Home, Inc.	Structures Youth Home, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	14	18	Charles
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Inner-County Outreach	Inner-County Outreach Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	14	18	Harford
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board Of Child Care -Colesville Group Home	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	7	7	18	Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts and Homes - Helen Smith Girls	Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	13	17	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hebron Association	Hebron Association for Community Services	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	8	18	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hebron Association - Philomen's Place	Hebron Association for Community Se	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	13	21	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	All That Matters -- Rhodenda Place	All That Matters, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	16	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CIS & H Inc. Manchester	CIS & H Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	15	18	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CIS & H Inc. Bald Hill	CIS & H Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	10	14	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CIS & H Inc. Boykin	CIS & H Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	4	13	16	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	CIS&H Inc. Barrs	CIS & H Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	4	0	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts and Homes	Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	17	21	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Mercy Family Care	Mercy Family Care, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	14	17	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	0	21	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	0	20	Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Second Family	Second Family, Inc.	DDA	RCC: Small Group Home	5	0	21	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Trimir Home for Children and Families	Trimir Home for Children and Families	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	6	15	19	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Williams Life Center, Inc. - Mason	Williams Life Center, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	16	20	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Williams Life Center, Inc.	Williams Life Center, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	8	14	18	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washington County Inc.- Bridgewater	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	10	17	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washington County Inc. - Foundations	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	16	21	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washington County Inc. - Potomac	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	5	15	21	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	10	17	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	ARC of Washjngton County Inc.- Jefferson	ARC of Washington County, Inc.	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	10	17	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care Hagerstown	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHR	RCC: Small Group Home	4	15	19	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Cedar Ridge Children's Home	Cedar Ridge Children's Home and School	DHMH	RCC: Small Group Home	6	11	17	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Bancroft Lindens	Bancroft Neurological Health	OOS	RCC: Small Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts and Homes – Mary’s Mount Manor	Hearts and Homes For Youth, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	13	17	Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children’s Guild - Debuskey House	The Children's Guild, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children’s Guild Harford House	The Children's Guild, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children’s Guild Kanner House	The Children's Guild, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	18	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Our Fortress Homes -- Hilton	Our Fortress Homes, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	14	17	Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	GUIDE Barrington	GUIDE Program, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	6	13	18	Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	17	Calvert
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Board of Child Care	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	6	12	17	Charles
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Adventist Behavioral Health Cottage	Adventist Healthcare, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	21	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Adventist Behavioral Health Cottage	Adventist Healthcare, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	12	17	Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	All That Matters Foundation	All That Matters, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	0	13	17	Prince George's
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	GUIDE	GUIDE Program, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	5	13	18	Prince George's

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar Jack E Barr	San Mar Children`s Home, Inc.	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	8	13	18	Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maple Shade Mardela Special Care	Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	DHMH	Therapeutic Group Home	6	8	18	Wicomico
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts & Homes for Youth- Mary's Mount Manor	Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc.		Therapeutic Group Home				Anne Arundel
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	National Center for Children and Families-Greentree Adolescent High Intensity Group Home	National Center for Children and Families		Therapeutic Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Guide - Ft. Washington	Guide, Inc.		Therapeutic Group Home				Prince George`s
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Maple Shade Youth and Family Services, Inc. -Mardela Special Care-Ocean Gateway	Maple Shade Youth and Family Services, Inc		Therapeutic Group Home				Wicomico
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar - Jone Bowman	San Mar		Therapeutic Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Board of Child Care - Alternatives for Youth- Triad House - (Boys)TGH	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church		Therapeutic Group Home				Calvert
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Cedar Ridge - Faith Cottage	Cedar Ridge Ministries		Therapeutic Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar Jack E. Barr	San Mar		Therapeutic Group Home				Washington
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Guide - Baltimore City	Guide, Inc.		Therapeutic Group Home				Baltimore City
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	San Mar - Allegany	San Mar		Therapeutic Group Home				Allegany

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Hearts and Homes - Redl House	Hearts and Homes for Youth		Therapeutic Group Home				Montgomery
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Children's Home Transitions	Children's Home Transitions		Therapeutic Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	The Board of Child Care - High Intensity Group Home	Board of Child Care of the United Methodist Church		Therapeutic Group Home				Baltimore County
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	JS Social Services -- Youthtown USA	JS Social Services, Inc.						
Residential Child Care Program (Group Homes)	Laurel Oaks RCC Dothan	Laurel Oaks Behavioral Health Center						
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program (DETP)	Woodbourne Children's Diagnostic Treatment Center	Woodbourne Children's Diagnostic Treatment Center		Diagnostic Units / CEU				Baltimore City
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program (DETP)	RICA - Rockville CEU Diagnostic	RICA - Rockville CEU Diagnostic		Diagnostic Units / CEU				Montgomery
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program (DETP)	Associated Catholic Charities St. Vincent's Villa Diagnostic	Associated Catholic Charities St. Vincent's Villa Diagnostic		Diagnostic Units / CEU				Baltimore County
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program (DETP)	Arrow Child and Family Ministries - Diagnostic Center	Arrow Child and Family Ministries - Diagnostic Center		Diagnostic Units / CEU				Baltimore County
Diagnostic Evaluation Treatment Program (DETP)	Children's Home - Diagnostic Shelter	Children's Home - Diagnostic Shelter		Diagnostic Units / CEU				Baltimore County

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	Green Ridge - Mountain Quest		Committed - Redirect				Allegany
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Backbone Mountain Youth Center	Backbone Mountain Youth Center		Committed - State Facility/Youth Center				Garrett
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Green Ridge Youth Center	Green Ridge Youth Center		Committed - State Facility/Youth Center				Allegany
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Savage Mountain Youth Center	Savage Mountain Youth Center		Committed - State Facility/Youth Center				Allegany
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	William Donald Schaefer House	William Donald Schaefer House		Committed - State Facility/Youth Center				Baltimore City
Juvenile Detention and Commitment Centers	Victor Cullen Center	Victor Cullen Center		Committed - State Facility/Youth Center				Frederick
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	J. DeWeese Carter Youth Facility	J. DeWeese Carter Youth Facility		Enhanced Academy				Kent
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	Mid-Atlantic Youth Services, Luzerne Co. Juvenile Center	Mid-Atlantic Youth Services, Luzerne Co. Juvenile Center		Enhanced Academy				Out of State
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	CCS of Lansing, Inc. -Turning Point Youth Center	CCS of Lansing, Inc. -Turning Point Youth Center		Enhanced Academy				Out of State
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	Mid Atlantic Youth Services-Western PA Child Care	Mid Atlantic Youth Services-Western PA Child Care (WPACC)		Enhanced Academy				Out of State

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	Cornell Abraxas Academy - Secure Sex Offender and Habitual Offender Programs	Cornell Abraxas Academy		Enhanced Academy				Out of State
Non-Secure/Non-RTC	NHS Youth Services, Inc. - Northwestern Academy- Hardware Secure	NHS Youth Services, Inc.		Enhanced Academy				Out of State
Residential Educational Facilities	Community School of Maryland	Community Services for Autistic Adults and Children, Inc.						MD-Montgomery County
Residential Educational Facilities	Marcia D. Smith School	Community Support Services, Inc.						MD-Montgomery County
Residential Educational Facilities	Linwood Center, Inc.	Linwood Center, Inc.						MD-Howard County
Residential Educational Facilities	Shorehaven School	Shorehaven, Inc.						MD-Cecil County
Residential Educational Facilities	The Benedictine School	The Benedictine School for Exceptional Children, Inc.						MD-Caroline County
Residential Educational Facilities	AdvoServ-Delaware	AdvoServ						Delaware
Residential Educational Facilities	AdvoServ-Florida	AdvoServ						Florida
Residential Educational Facilities	Grafton School Inc.	Grafton School Inc.						Virginia
Residential Educational Facilities	The Learning Center for the Deaf	The Learning Center for the Deaf						Massachusetts
Residential Treatment Centers	KidsPeace National Centers, Inc.	KidsPeace National Centers, Inc.	OOS	CPA: Adoption	0	0	20	Out of State

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Treatment Centers	Stetson School	Stetson School	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of state
Residential Treatment Centers	Devereux Florida	Devereux Foundation	OOS	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	The Pines Group Homes	The Pines	DHR	RCC: Large Group Home	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Capital Academy	Camelot	OOS	RCC: Medically Fragile	1	0	20	Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	RICA Baltimore RTC	Rica -Regional Institute For Children & Adolescents	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	45	0	21	Baltimore City
Residential Treatment Centers	Woodbourne Center RTC	Woodbourne Center, Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	48	0	20	Baltimore City
Residential Treatment Centers	Associated Catholic Charities	Associated Catholic Charities Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	52	0	20	Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Associated Catholic Charities	Associated Catholic Charities Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	43	0	20	Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Good Shepherd Center RTC	Good Shepherd Center	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	105	0	20	Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Sheppard Pratt Berkeley & Eleanor	Sheppard Pratt Health System, Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	48	12	20	Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Adventist Behavioral Health Eastern	Adventist Healthcare, Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	14	0	20	Dorchester
Residential Treatment Centers	Sheppard Pratt -- The Jefferson School	Sheppard Pratt Health System, Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	53	12	20	Frederick

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Treatment Centers	Adventist Behavioral Health Rockville	Adventist Healthcare, Inc.	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	49	0	20	Montgomery
Residential Treatment Centers	RICA Rockville RTC	Rica -Regional Institute For Children & Adolescents	DHMH	Residential Treatment Center	80	0	21	Montgomery
Residential Treatment Centers	Devereux Georgia RTC	Devereux Foundation	OOS	Residential Treatment Center	1	0	20	Out of state
Residential Treatment Centers	Good Shepherd Center -Females Program	Good Shepherd		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	RICA- Baltimore Residential Treatment Center	RICA- Baltimore Residential Treatment Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore City
Residential Treatment Centers	RICA - Rockville RTC	RICA - Rockville RTC		Residential Treatment Facility				Montgomery
Residential Treatment Centers	Associated Catholic Charities St. Vincent's Villa Residential Treatment Center	Associated Catholic Charities St. Vincent's Villa Residential Treatment Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Woodbourne Residential Treatment Center	Woodbourne Residential Treatment Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore City
Residential Treatment Centers	Jefferson School	Jefferson School		Residential Treatment Facility				Frederick
Residential Treatment Centers	Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN RTC	Sheppard Pratt		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	New Directions Chesapeake Treatment Center- Hickey	New Directions Chesapeake Treatment Center- Hickey		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Potomac Ridge Residential Treatment Center	Potomac Ridge Residential Treatment Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Montgomery

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Residential Treatment Centers	Potomac Ridge Behavioral Health - Eastern Shore	Potomac Ridge Behavioral Health		Residential Treatment Facility				Dorchester
Residential Treatment Centers	Good Shepherd Center Males Program	Good Shepherd Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Baltimore County
Residential Treatment Centers	Devereux Georgia Treatment Network	Devereux		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Devereux - Kanner Center Florida Treatment Network	Devereux		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Cornell Abraxas Youth Center - Firesetter's Programs	Cornell Abraxas Youth Center		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	New Hope Carolinas - Residential Program	New Hope Carolinas		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Keystone Newport News	Keystone Newport News		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Boys' Town - Specialized Treatment Foster Care	Boys' Town, Inc.		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	Three Rivers Residential Treatment-Midland Campus	Three Rivers Residential Treatment		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	UHS of Delaware -Gulf Coast Treatment Center	Universal Health Services		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
Residential Treatment Centers	UHS of Delaware, Inc. - Laurel Oaks Behavioral Health Center	Universal Health Services		Residential Treatment Facility				Out of State
RTC-Private	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents		Residential Treatment Center		0	120	
RTC-Private	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents		Residential Treatment Center		0	120	

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
RTC-Public	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents-Baltimore	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents	OHCQ	Residential Treatment Center	45	0	120	Baltimore City
RTC-Public	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents-Rockville	Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents	OHCQ	Residential Treatment Center	80	0	120	Montgomery
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Rite of Passage - Silver Oak Academy	Rite of Passage		Intermediate Academy				Carroll
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Glen Mills Schools (PA)	Glen Mills Schools		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Clarinda Academy - Residential Treatment Program	Clarinda Academy		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Woodward Youth Corporation - Woodward Academy	Summit Academy		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Summit Academy - Inpatient Drug and Alcohol Program	Summit Academy		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Summit Academy - Traditional Program	Summit Academy		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Keystone Continuum, LLC-Natchez Trace Youth Academy	Keystone Continuum, LLC		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Canyon State Academy	Canyon State Academy		Intermediate Academy				Out of State

Subcategory	Provider Name	Organization Name	License Agency	License Type	Agency Capacity	Age From	Age To	Jurisdiction
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Mid Atlantic Youth Services-Intensive Open Residential Treatment	Mid Atlantic Youth Services, Inc.		Intermediate Academy				Out of State
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program	Catoctin Summit Adolescent Program		Substance Abuse Programs				Frederick
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Lois E. Jackson Unit-Addictions Program	Lois E. Jackson Unit-Addictions Program		Substance Abuse Programs				Allegany
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Maryland Treatment Center - Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center	Maryland Treatment Center - Mountain Manor Drug Treatment Center		Substance Abuse Programs				Baltimore City
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Morning Star Youth Academy	Morning Star Youth Academy		Substance Abuse Programs				Dorchester
Substance Abuse and Addiction Programs	Meadow Mountain Youth Center	Meadow Mountain Youth Center		Substance Abuse Youth Center				Garrett
Psychiatric Hospitalization	Spring Grove Hospital Center	Spring Grove Hospital Center		Psychiatric Hospital				Baltimore County
Psychiatric Hospitalization	Thomas Finan Center Adult Psychiatric Program	Thomas Finan Center Adult Psychiatric Program		Psychiatric Hospital				Allegany
Psychiatric Hospitalization	Springfield Adult Hospital Center	Springfield Adult Hospital Center		Psychiatric Hospital				Carroll